```
Page 1
 1
             IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
              FOR THE DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS
 2
 3
     ANNE BRUMBAUGH,
     GARY L. HARMON and
     RANDY K. GRIFFIN,
 4
 5
                Plaintiffs,
                                  Civil Action
 6
            vs.
                                  No. 04-30022-MAP
 7
     WAVE SYSTEMS
     CORPORATION,
     JOHN E. BAGALAY, JR.,
 8
     STEVEN K. SPRAGUE and
 9
     GERARD T. FEENEY,
10
                Defendants.
11
12
            REALTIME DEPOSITION OF ANNE BRUMBAUGH,
13
14
     produced, sworn, and examined on Friday, the 5th
     day of May, 2006, between the hours of 8:00 o'clock
15
     in the forenoon and 6:00 o'clock in the afternoon of
     that day at the Double Hotel, Suite 720, 10100
     College Boulevard, in the City of Overland Park,
16
     County of Johnson, State of Kansas, before:
17
18
               PEGGY E. CORBETT, RDR-CCR-CSR
               Registered Diplomate Reporter
19
                               of
               JAY E. SUDDRETH & ASSOCIATES, INC.
20
                            Suite 100
                     10104 West 105th Street
21
               Overland Park, Kansas 66212-5746
22
     a Certified Shorthand Reporter for the State of
     Kansas.
23
     Taken on behalf of Defendant Wave Systems
24
     Corporation pursuant to Notice to Take Deposition.
25
```

		Registered Profe			
		Page 2			Page
1		APPEARANCES	1	15	T.D. Waterhouse Account Statement, 90
2		Plaintiffs:	2		2/27/04, for Anne K. Brumbaugh
3		HIFFRIN & BARROWAY, LLP	3		and Kathryn R. Brumbaugh
4		orneys at Law O King of Prussia Road	4	16	T.D. Waterhouse Account Statement, 90
7		dnor, PA 19087	5	10	3/31/04, for Anne K. Brumbaugh
5		: MR. TODD M. MOSSER			
		MS. KAREN E. REILLY	6		and William K. Brumbaugh
6		D. C. de al Marco Contanto Companylina	7	17	Form 424B1, 8/18/03 91
7	For the	Defendant Wave Systems Corporation:	8	18	Form S-3/A, 8/20/03 93
′	ВІ	NGHAM MCCUTCHEN LLP	9	19	Form 424B1, 8/22/03 93
8		torneys at Law	10	20	Wave Systems Provides Update on 94
		0 Federal Street	11		Corporate Progress and Reviews
9		ston, MA 02110-1726	12		Q3/Nine Months Results
10	В	: MR. MICHAEL D. BLANCHARD	13	21	Wave Systems Notified of SEC 95
11		INDEX	14	21	Investigation
12				22	Plaintiffs' Response To Defendants' 101
13		BRUMBAUGH PAGE	15	22	
14		ation by Mr. Blanchard 4	16		First Set of Interrogatories
15 16	Signatu Certific		17	23	Letter, 4/19/06, Mosser to 101
17	CC, GIIC		18		Blanchard
18	Livenot	es Token License Number: #05673-5050	19	24	Consolidated Amended Class Action 127
19		EVITATE:	20		Complaint for Violations of the
20	EXHIBI	EXHIBITS T PAGE	21		Federal Securities Laws
21		R DESCRIPTION REFERENCED	22		
		D. Waterhouse Account Statement, 25	23		
23	6/	30/03, BRUM0006-9	24		
24 25		.D. Waterhouse Account Statement, 27	25		
		31/03, BRUM0023-26			2
4	2	Page 3	1		Page ANNE BRUMBAUGH,
1	3	T.D. Waterhouse Account Statement, 27	1	ا عم	
2		8/29/03, BRUM0027-30	2		awful age, having been first duly sworn to tell
3	4	Scottrade Account Statement, 7/31/03 27	3		truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the
4		BRUM0020-22	4	trut	h, testified as follows:
5	5	T.D. Waterhouse Account Statement, 27	5		EXAMINATION
6		8/29/03BRUM0010-17	6	BY	MR. BLANCHARD:
7	6	Scottrade Account Statement, 7/31/03 27	7	(Q. For the record we have here counsel,
8	-	BRUM0018-19	8		uld you like to identify yourselves?
9	7	Certification of Names Plaintiff 46	9		MS. REILLY: Karen Reilly for
و 10		Anne Brumbaugh, B	10	nlai	ntiffs, and also Todd Mosser for plaintiffs.
11	8	Wave Systems Announcement, 7/31/03 62	11	Pion	MR. BLANCHARD: And my name is Michael
тт		Wave Systems Makes Enterprise 68	12	Rlai	nchard with Bingham McCutchen, and I represent
	0	WAVE SYSTEMS MAKES EMPHORISE - DO	124		ichara with bingham necatcher, and represent
12			12	tha	defendants in this action
12 13		Applications More Secure Than Ever	13		defendants in this action.
12 13 14		Applications More Secure Than Ever 8/4/03	14	(Q. (BY MR. BLANCHARD) Ma'am, could you state
12 13 14 15	10	Applications More Secure Than Ever 8/4/03 New York Times Article From 81	14 15	you	Q. (BY MR. BLANCHARD) Ma'am, could you state ir name for the record, please?
12 13 14 15 16	10	Applications More Secure Than Ever 8/4/03 New York Times Article From 81 August 5th, 2003	14 15 16	you	Q. (BY MR. BLANCHARD) Ma'am, could you state ir name for the record, please?A. Anne Brumbaugh.
12 13 14 15 16	10	Applications More Secure Than Ever 8/4/03 New York Times Article From 81 August 5th, 2003 Form S-3/A, 8/12/03 83	14 15 16 17	you	Q. (BY MR. BLANCHARD) Ma'am, could you state ir name for the record, please? A. Anne Brumbaugh. Q. And are you a plaintiff in a lawsuit
12 13 14 15 16 17	10 11	Applications More Secure Than Ever 8/4/03 New York Times Article From 81 August 5th, 2003	14 15 16 17 18	you	Q. (BY MR. BLANCHARD) Ma'am, could you state ir name for the record, please?A. Anne Brumbaugh.
12 13 14 15 16 17	10 11 12	Applications More Secure Than Ever 8/4/03 New York Times Article From 81 August 5th, 2003 Form S-3/A, 8/12/03 83	14 15 16 17	you / cur	Q. (BY MR. BLANCHARD) Ma'am, could you state ir name for the record, please? A. Anne Brumbaugh. Q. And are you a plaintiff in a lawsuit
12 14 15 16 17 18	10 11 12	Applications More Secure Than Ever 8/4/03 New York Times Article From 81 August 5th, 2003 Form S-3/A, 8/12/03 83 Transcript Of A Wave Systems 84 Corporation Earnings Conference	14 15 16 17 18 19	you / cur	 Q. (BY MR. BLANCHARD) Ma'am, could you state ir name for the record, please? A. Anne Brumbaugh. Q. And are you a plaintiff in a lawsuit rently? A. Yes.
12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	10 11 12	Applications More Secure Than Ever 8/4/03 New York Times Article From 81 August 5th, 2003 Form S-3/A, 8/12/03 83 Transcript Of A Wave Systems 84 Corporation Earnings Conference Call Dated August 14th, 2003	14 15 16 17 18 19 20	you / / cur	 Q. (BY MR. BLANCHARD) Ma'am, could you state ir name for the record, please? A. Anne Brumbaugh. Q. And are you a plaintiff in a lawsuit rently? A. Yes. Q. And what is that lawsuit?
12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	10 11 12	Applications More Secure Than Ever 8/4/03 New York Times Article From 81 August 5th, 2003 Form S-3/A, 8/12/03 83 Transcript Of A Wave Systems 84 Corporation Earnings Conference Call Dated August 14th, 2003 T.D. Waterhouse Account Statement, 90	14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	you // cur	 Q. (BY MR. BLANCHARD) Ma'am, could you state in name for the record, please? A. Anne Brumbaugh. Q. And are you a plaintiff in a lawsuit rently? A. Yes. Q. And what is that lawsuit? A. Well, it's purchasers of Wave Systems
12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	10 11 12	Applications More Secure Than Ever 8/4/03 New York Times Article From 81 August 5th, 2003 Form S-3/A, 8/12/03 83 Transcript Of A Wave Systems 84 Corporation Earnings Conference Call Dated August 14th, 2003 T.D. Waterhouse Account Statement, 90 2/27/04 for Anne K. Brumbaugh	14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	you (cur () bet	 Q. (BY MR. BLANCHARD) Ma'am, could you state in name for the record, please? A. Anne Brumbaugh. Q. And are you a plaintiff in a lawsuit rently? A. Yes. Q. And what is that lawsuit? A. Well, it's purchasers of Wave Systems ween the time frame of July 31st and February,
12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	10 11 12 13 14	Applications More Secure Than Ever 8/4/03 New York Times Article From 81 August 5th, 2003 Form S-3/A, 8/12/03 83 Transcript Of A Wave Systems 84 Corporation Earnings Conference Call Dated August 14th, 2003 T.D. Waterhouse Account Statement, 90 2/27/04 for Anne K. Brumbaugh T.D. Waterhouse Account Statement, 90	14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	you (cur bet '03,	Q. (BY MR. BLANCHARD) Ma'am, could you state in name for the record, please? A. Anne Brumbaugh. Q. And are you a plaintiff in a lawsuit rently? A. Yes. Q. And what is that lawsuit? A. Well, it's purchasers of Wave Systems ween the time frame of July 31st and February, but I'm not sure, and Wave Systems, and Steven
12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	10 11 12 13 14	Applications More Secure Than Ever 8/4/03 New York Times Article From 81 August 5th, 2003 Form S-3/A, 8/12/03 83 Transcript Of A Wave Systems 84 Corporation Earnings Conference Call Dated August 14th, 2003 T.D. Waterhouse Account Statement, 90 2/27/04 for Anne K. Brumbaugh	14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	you cur bet '03,	 Q. (BY MR. BLANCHARD) Ma'am, could you state in name for the record, please? A. Anne Brumbaugh. Q. And are you a plaintiff in a lawsuit rently? A. Yes. Q. And what is that lawsuit? A. Well, it's purchasers of Wave Systems ween the time frame of July 31st and February,

Page 8 Page 6 transcribed, and the record won't be clear as to Q. And who are those persons? 1 what your response, was even though it may be clear 2 Sprague is the CEO and Feeney is the CFO. 2 Α. Q. Are they parties to the lawsuit? to us in this room. 3 4 Okay. 4 Α. MR. BLANCHARD: Just preliminarily, 5 If you could, wait until the question is 5 6 finished before answering the question. That will can we agree that we will reserve all objections 6 also provide for a clearer record. except for the form of the question until the time 7 7 8 A. Okay. 8 of trial? 9 If you need to take a break at any time, Q. 9 MS. REILLY: That's in accordance with 10 let me know, and we can take a break. Okay? the Federal Rules, so yes. And also for the record, 10 as you know, Counsel, we have filed a Motion for 11 11 And if you need to speak to your attorney Protective Order concerning documents received by 12 Q. 12 at any time, let me know, you're free to do so. 13 your firm in response to third-party subpoenas 13 What I would ask is that if there's a question 14 directed to the lead plaintiffs' brokers in this 14 pending, you answer the question before you speak action, and any questions concerning the documents 15 15 with your attorney and take that break. Okay? that have been produced in response to those 16 16 subpoenas, we object to their use in line with the 17 A. Okay. 17 18 arguments that we have made to the court in our 18 Q. When were you born? August 15th, 1955. Motions For Protective Order. 19 Α. 19 20 Are you married? 20 So we do preserve those objections as Q. 21 21 stated in the motion. Α. No. 22 Were you ever married? 22 MR. BLANCHARD: Okay. Will the Q. 23 witness be reading and signing the deposition? 23 Α. Do you have children? 24 MS. REILLY: Yes. 24 Q. 25 MR. BLANCHARD: How long will the 25 Yes. Page 9 Page 7 How many children do you have? witness take to read and sign? Is 30 days Q. 1 1 2 2 sufficient? Three. Α. 3 What are their names? 3 MS. REILLY: Whatever is provided for. Q. MR. BLANCHARD: Can we agree to -- I 4 4 Α. Holly, Kathryn and Bill. 5 Are you in good health? 5 think we're going to expedite this transcript. Can Q. 6 we agree to something a little earlier, given the 6 Yes. Α. classification briefing schedule? 7 Are you taking -- this question isn't 7 Q. MS. REILLY: I'll speak with the meant to be prying. I want to be certain that you 8 can provide answers today that are not impaired by plaintiff after the deposition to see what her 9 9 any medications you might be taking. timetable can be, given her schedule. 10 10 Are you taking any medications that could MR. BLANCHARD: Okay. 11 11 12 12

- Q. (BY MR. BLANCHARD) Ms. Brumbaugh, what's your current residential address?
 - A. 5410 West 139th Street, Overland Park.
- 15 Q. Have you ever had your deposition taken before? 16
- 17 A. No.

13

14

- Q. In a deposition I'm going to be -- in this 18 deposition I'm going to be asking you questions and 19 you'll be providing answers. It's important that 20 your answers be oral answers, verbal responses. A 21 nod of the head cannot be transcribed by the court 22 23 reporter.
- 24 If you just, you know, say something other
- than "yes" or "no" to a question, that can't be 25

affect your memory or your ability to answer

- questions truthfully today? 13
 - A. No.

14

17

21

- 15 Q. Again, not to pry, but when were you 16 divorced?
 - A. 1996.
- 18 Are any of your children involved in the Q. securities industry? 19
- 20 A.
 - Is your ex-husband involved in the Q.
- securities industry? 22
 - A. No.
- None of them are stock brokers? 24 Q. 25
 - No. Α.

7

18

24

25

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

Page 10

- Analysts, anything like that? Q.
- Α.

1

2

3

6

7

8

9

- What do your children do? Q.
- My oldest daughter, she's a graphic 4 5

designer, and my son is a college student, and my youngest daughter is a high school student.

- Are you related in any way to any of the lawyers involved in this action?
 - No. Α.
- 10 Are you employed? Q.
- 11 Α. Yes.
- 12 Q. What is your occupation?
- Real estate agent. 13 Α.
- 14 Q. How long have you been doing that for?
- 15 A little over two years. A.
- What did you do prior to being a real 16 Q.
- 17 estate agent? 18 A. Well, I've worked in the banking industry.
- This is going back. For a few years I was just a 19
- 20 homemaker, and then I've worked in compliance at 21 First National Bank of Olathe.
- 22 Q. Why don't we, just so I don't have to ask
- 23 you these questions all over again, why don't you 24 just run me through what you did sequentially going
- 25

vears?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10 11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

25

National Bank, what were your duties? 1

A. I was an assistant to the compliance 2 officer so I would update compliance manuals, do --3 4 check for compliance within the bank, kind of 5 auditing.

Page 12

Q. What form of compliance was it that you were working on?

8 A. Federal regulations about what, you know, 9 the bank has two different things that you have to 10 have out for public display, different procedures, just policies and procedures. 11

Q. Would it be fair to say that your role is 12 13 in making sure the bank was compliant with some Federal regulations? 14

15 Uh-huh, yes.

The trust operations supervisor position, 16 who was that for again? 17

A. Utica National Bank in Tulsa.

19 What were your responsibilities as trust Q. 20 operations supervisor?

21 Well, to oversee the whole department, 22 reconcile our brokerage accounts with various 23 brokers that we did trades through.

Our department would implement the trades that the administrators would make. They would come

Page 11

So you've been a real estate for two

Okay, let's say since January of '05, and then I was a home maker from 2001 to 2005. I worked in compliance for three months, or from September to December of '01 in compliance at the First National Bank of Olathe.

I worked at the Post Office for five years prior to that, from '95 to 2001, and I worked for the State of Kansas part-time from probably '94 to '99 probably, and then I was a homemaker and a piano teacher for probably ten years prior to that, and then I was a trust operations supervisor in Tulsa from, let's see, 1980 to 1983, then I was an auditor at Cloud County Bank in Concordia from 1973 to 2000 -- or 1980, sorry.

I wasn't an auditor that whole time. I was a bookkeeper for awhile, and head bookkeeper, and then auditor for probably the last five or six years.

- 21 Going back, what did you do for the State Q. 22 of Kansas?
- 23 A. Office assistant for the Highway 24 Department.
 - The compliance position you had at First

back to our department, and then we would enter them 1 2 onto the computer, and sometimes I would make the 3 calls to the brokers, kind of in the later stages.

- Q. When you say "make the calls to the brokers," what did that involve? I mean what was the point of the call?
- A. I can't even remember now.
 - Were you placing trades? Q.
- You know, I'm not sure. I remember talking to several different brokers, and sometimes it would have to do with the accounts. You know, I couldn't say with any certainty because it's been so long ago.
- 14 Q. Actually, and that raises another point. 15 I should have told you earlier that if you can't 16 recall something, just let me know that you can't 17 recall.
- 18 Α. Uh-huh.
- 19 Q. You're not required to guess or invent a 20 memory. 21
 - A. I can't recall with any certainty.
- 22 Okay. Do you recall whether you gained experience in the securities industry through that 23 24 position?
 - I gained some familiarity.

4 (Pages 10 to 13)

6

8

9

11

12

Page 14

1 Q. Uh-huh. Do you recall what sorts of 2 things securities-related you did?

- A. Now I don't specifically.
- Q. When you were an auditor at the bank what were your responsibilities in that position?
- A. Again, it's kind of a compliance position, 6 7 so there are certain checks that the bank has to do internally to meet with the Federal guidelines and 8 9 so I would go in and on a periodic basis -- you know, time frames, and just check like with a loan 10 to make sure, you know, that the collateral was 11 12 adequate for the loan or that, you know, officers 13 followed this procedure that they were supposed to 14 follow.

The same way with the Trust Department. I can't -- this is like another lifetime ago. So just the various departments had certain checks and balances that the bank was supposed to adhere to, and I would go in and see that they had been.

- Q. I'll ask you a few questions about your educational background.
- 22 A. Okay.

3

4

5

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

18

19

20

21

25

- Q. Starting from graduation from high school, did you attend college?
 - A. Not initially.

1 earning your Associate's Degree?

- A. Yes.
- 3 Q. What were those courses in?
- 4 A. Biology, government, history, math,
 - psychology. That's all that comes to mind right now.

Page 16

Page 17

- 7 Q. Economics?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. Finance?
- 10 A. No; small business management.
 - Q. Have you ever taught any courses?
 - A. No.
- 13 Q. Have you received any training
- 14 following -- well, when did you receive your
- 15 Associate's Degree?
- 16 A. I probably actually got that about the 17 time I moved, so let's say probably 2000.
- 18 Q. Did you receive any training after your 19 Associate's Degree, on-the-job training, seminars, 20 anything of that nature?
- 21 A. Well, my real estate, you know, licensing, 22 I took training for that.
 - O. You're a licensed real estate agent?
- 24 A. Yes.
 - Q. And when did you receive your real estate

Page 15

- Q. When?
- A. Probably when I was like a young mother I started taking some evening classes at an outreach junior college that came to the town where I was living, and so I have, over the years, I have my Associate's Degree.
 - Q. What is your Associate's Degree in?
- A. What is it? I think it's like science, or you know, like what do they call it, Associate's Of Science or Arts. I think mine is the science.
- 11 Q. Did you have a major?
- 12 A. It's not -- no.
- 13 Q. There was no major?
- A. Well, no, not with two years. You just
 get your Associate's Degree, and it was just -- I
 mean it wasn't like I was going a specific
 direction.

It was just taking advantage of the opportunity at the time, and then I'd be ready to jump into something else, if and when that opportunity presented itself.

- Q. Did you receive any further degreesfollowing your Associate's Degree?
- 24 A. No.
 - Q. Do you recall any of the courses you took

1 license?

23

25

6

9

12

13

14

15

- A. Well, you get your temporary license, so I would have gotten that in probably February or March of '04 or '05. I'm not sure. '04, it would have been.
 - O. Uh-huh.
- 7 A. And then like within six months is when 8 you get your actual license.
 - Q. Uh-huh.
- 10 A. So it would have been six months after 11 that. It was August of '04.
 - Q. I'm sorry, I didn't mean to --
 - A. And I think I told you '05 before, maybe on some dates, but it should have been '04.
 - Q. Okay. Did you have to attend any education to obtain your real estate license?
- 17 A. Uh-huh. You go for a 30-hour 18 pre-licensing class and then you have a 30-hour 19 post-licensing class, and then you take continuing 20 education classes.
- 21 Q. What's the nature of the continuing 22 education you received?
- A. Some of them is "Real Estate And The TaxLaws," some of them might be "Caught On Camera,"
 - 5 it's like an ethics class. They do have a "Code of

Page 18 Page 20 Ethics" class that we do have to take every period 1 1 2 You didn't have a broker making trades on 2 that we have to renew, so --Q. your behalf? 3 Q. What area of real estate do you sell? Is 3 it mainly residential or commercial, as well? 4 No. I mean I would call and instigate the 4 Α. 5 5 A. I sell residential. trade. Q. Uh-huh. Have you ever been a plaintiff in So at your direction the broker would do 6 6 Q. trades? another lawsuit? 7 7 8 8 A. Yes. A. No. 9 And have you continued that practice until Q. 9 Ever been a defendant? Q. 10 No. I mean a divorce, but not really -today? 10 Α. Other than your divorce, yes. A. 11 11 Q. Do you have any accounts where brokers 12 12 Α. Okay, no. have discretion to trade on your behalf? 13 13 Q. Have you ever been a witness in a lawsuit? 14 14 Α. Α. Have you ever had any such accounts? 15 Have you ever testified before? 15 Q. Q. 16 16 Α. Α. 17 Q. When did you have those accounts? 17 Q. And you've never had your deposition taken A. I think it was in 1999 and 2000. 18 18 before? O. What were the brokerages that --19 19 A. No. A. It was a Charles Schwab Institutional 20 20 Q. Have you ever otherwise been involved in Account it was called Black Capital Investments that any way in any civil action? 21 21 22 was out of here in Kansas City, and he would call 22 A. No. 23 23 and discuss different stock trades with me and kind Q. Any criminal action? of help, you know, make decisions about what to buy 24 24 Α. No. and stuff. 25 25 Have you ever been the target of an Q. Page 19 Page 21 investigation by a Grand Jury? Did that account -- did any of your 1 1 trading in Wave securities arise from your Schwab 2 A. 2 No. 3 3 account? Q. The Securities & Exchange Commission? 4 Α. No. 4 Α. 5 5 Any other agency? Ο. Is that the only discretionary account Q. 6 6 vou've had? Α. MS. REILLY: Objection. 7 Have you ever been arrested or charged 7 Q. 8 criminally? 8 Q. (BY MR. BLANCHARD) You can answer the 9 auestion. 9 Α. 10 Have you ever been the subject of an 10 A. Well, no. investigation or disciplinary proceeding by a State MS. REILLY: Objection. I don't know 11 11 if you realize that you asked her if that was the 12 Licensing Board? 12 only discretionary account she had. 13 13 A. No. Q. (BY MR. BLANCHARD) Oh. Was that the only 14 When did you begin investing in 14 Q. securities? 15 account you had, that you allowed, you know, a 15 A. 1985. broker to make trades on your behalf? 16 16 17 A. Oh, yes, yes. 17 Q. Do you recall why you started investing in Q. When you started trading back in 1985 do 18 securities? 18 you recall what sorts of securities you invested in? 19 A. Uh-huh. I had inherited some stocks from 19

20

21

22

23

24

25

A.

Q.

A.

A. Yes. Do you want names or times?

No, they were stocks.

They were stocks.

Sure. Well, first of all, were they

equity securities? Were they bonds? Do you recall?

Kraft, Hillenbrand Industries, I think

20

21

22

23

24

a great aunt that had passed away, and so I

and so that's when I started.

25 your own account?

deposited those into a self-traded account, or you

know, like -- whatever they are, and self-directed,

So you had discretionary authority over

Page 22 Page 24 Ralston Purina. A lot of them were consumer goods. Uh-huh. 1 1 Q. Q. Did you research those companies before 2 And then just go through and look at --2 you invested in them? 3 you know, try to do an overview of that account from 3 4 4 A. Yes. that. 5 5 Do you read any documents that the O. What did you do to research them? 6 Oh, back then, Standard & Poor's was kind 6 companies file with the Securities And Exchange 7 of one resource place you could get kind of a report 7 Commission? 8 from them, that, and just on my own, I guess, 8 A. I'm not sure. 9 consumer appeal using my judgment on what products I 9 Do you know whether you -- was it your Q. liked and what I, you know, saw as successful. 10 10 practice to read prospectuses? Q. As of today, could you estimate how many 11 No; you know, to obtain one before I 11 Α. times you've bought and sold securities? 12 purchased, no. 12 13 A. Like how many different securities I've Q. How much time do you spend on a monthly 13 14 bought and sold? 14 basis managing your portfolio? 15 O. Sure. 15 A. It varies. I mean it can totally vary. 16 A. I mean I'm not sure. Is that what you're 16 Some months I may not spend any, and some months I 17 asking? may spend 10, 15 hours. I'm a single mother with 17 18 Q. Well, we'll refine the question that you three kids, and there's times I just don't have time 18 19 posed, to be probably clearer. Do you recall how 19 to even think about it. 20 many companies you've invested in? 20 Q. Uh-huh. How many brokerage accounts do 21 A. Oh, I could guess, and maybe I would be 21 you currently hold? 22 within 20. I guess -- I don't know. I would say --22 A. Including IRA? 23 MS. REILLY: It's best not to guess. 23 Q. Yes. 24 Q. (BY MR. BLANCHARD) Yeah, you don't have 24 Α. Five. 25 to guess, but a rough estimate, and if it's an 25 Are all of those individual accounts? Q. Page 23 Page 25 estimate that you're telling me is within 20, 30, 1 Α. No. 2 whatever, I'm just getting a sense --2 Q. Are some of them joint accounts? 3 A. I'll say 40. 3 Α. 4 Q. How many stocks do you hold at the 4 Q. Who is the joint account with? 5 present? Actually, how many different companies 5 A. My fiancee. 6 have you invested in? 6 Q. And what is his name? 7 A. 20. 7 James Williams. 8 And for these 20 or so stocks, do you do 8 Does he participate in decision-making your own research? 9 over that account? 10 Yes. Α. 10 A. No. 11 How do you do your research on stocks? 11 Q. Sorry. There was the roll of your eyes 12 Well, now I do it on-line. Usually my 12 there. 13 favorite sites have been Morningstar.com, Quicken, 13 Who are the other accounts -- are there and Smart Money, and then I also access information 14 14 other individuals named on any of the other 15 from T. D. Waterhouse's website. 15 accounts? Q. What do you read when you go to these 16 A. No. 16 17 websites? 17 Let's see. Do you have IRA accounts with A. Oh, I usually go in and get, you know, 18 18 others as beneficiaries? like they do a broad overview of the company, and 19 19 A. It --20 then I'll go into their news releases, because I 20 MS. REILLY: Objection to form. I'm

21

22

23

24

beneficiary.

messing around.

not clear as to who you're asking as the

MR. BLANCHARD: Let's just quit

(Whereupon, Deposition Exhibit Number 1 was marked

21

22

23

24

25

Α.

believe that's the most up-to-date information.

source that's a public publication.

You read the company news releases?

those websites. They can come from any source, any

The one that is -- whatever is posted on

	Registered Flore		•
	Page 26		Page 28
1	by the reporter for identification.)	1	Q. Do you continue to hold this account?
2	Q. (BY MR. BLANCHARD) Let the record reflect	2	A. Yes.
3	that this is a document that was produced by the	3	Q. Do you see Exhibit 3 before you?
4	plaintiff, and it bears the Bates label, and for	4	A. Yes.
5	your information, ma'am, the Bates label is that	5	Q. Do you recognize that document?
		6	A. It's my son's IRA.
6	little "BRUM" with numbers at the bottom right-hand	7	Q. It bears the Bates label beginning 0027
7	corner, Bates labels 0006 through 0009.	8	through 0030, correct?
8	A. Uh-huh.	9	A. Yes.
9	Q. Do you recognize this document?		
10	A. Yes.	10	•
11	Q. Could you tell me what it is?	11	
12	MS. REILLY: Okay, and just for the	12	
13	record this is a document that was produced pursuant	13	
14	to third-party subpoenas, I believe, directed to	14	
15	T.D. Waterhouse. Is that correct?	15	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
16	MR. BLANCHARD: That's not correct.	16	
17	This is a document that was produced by the	17	
18	plaintiff, and it's redacted.	18	•
19	MS. REILLY: Okay.	19	
20	A. It's my daughter's IRA.	20	•
21	Q. (BY MR. BLANCHARD) Okay, this is an IRA	21	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
22	for your daughter?	22	
23	A. Uh-huh.	23	Q. This is a Scottrade account statement?
24	Q. And your daughter is Holly Brumbaugh?	24	
25	A. Yes.	25	Q. The names on this account are James
١.	Page 27	١.	Page 29 Williams and Anne Brumbaugh, correct?
1	Q. Why don't we just stop a second and I'll	1	
17		lo	
2	have you mark a bunch.	2	A. Correct.
3	(Whereupon, Deposition Exhibit Numbers 2 through 6	3	A. Correct. Q. Do you recognize this document?
3 4	(Whereupon, Deposition Exhibit Numbers 2 through 6 were marked by the reporter for identification.)	3 4	A. Correct.Q. Do you recognize this document?A. Yes.
3 4 5	(Whereupon, Deposition Exhibit Numbers 2 through 6 were marked by the reporter for identification.) Q. (BY MR. BLANCHARD) Ms. Brumbaugh, you	3 4 5	A. Correct.Q. Do you recognize this document?A. Yes.Q. And this reflects the account with your
3 4 5 6	(Whereupon, Deposition Exhibit Numbers 2 through 6 were marked by the reporter for identification.) Q. (BY MR. BLANCHARD) Ms. Brumbaugh, you have a number of exhibits before you; is that	3 4 5 6	A. Correct.Q. Do you recognize this document?A. Yes.Q. And this reflects the account with your flancee?
3 4 5 6 7	(Whereupon, Deposition Exhibit Numbers 2 through 6 were marked by the reporter for identification.) Q. (BY MR. BLANCHARD) Ms. Brumbaugh, you have a number of exhibits before you; is that correct?	3 4 5 6 7	 A. Correct. Q. Do you recognize this document? A. Yes. Q. And this reflects the account with your fiancee? A. Yes.
3 4 5 6 7 8	(Whereupon, Deposition Exhibit Numbers 2 through 6 were marked by the reporter for identification.) Q. (BY MR. BLANCHARD) Ms. Brumbaugh, you have a number of exhibits before you; is that correct? A. Yes.	3 4 5 6 7 8	 A. Correct. Q. Do you recognize this document? A. Yes. Q. And this reflects the account with your fiancee? A. Yes. Q. And James Williams is your fiancee?
3 4 5 6 7 8 9	(Whereupon, Deposition Exhibit Numbers 2 through 6 were marked by the reporter for identification.) Q. (BY MR. BLANCHARD) Ms. Brumbaugh, you have a number of exhibits before you; is that correct? A. Yes. Q. Am I pronouncing your name correct, by the	3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 A. Correct. Q. Do you recognize this document? A. Yes. Q. And this reflects the account with your fiancee? A. Yes. Q. And James Williams is your fiancee? A. Yes.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9	(Whereupon, Deposition Exhibit Numbers 2 through 6 were marked by the reporter for identification.) Q. (BY MR. BLANCHARD) Ms. Brumbaugh, you have a number of exhibits before you; is that correct? A. Yes. Q. Am I pronouncing your name correct, by the way?	3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 A. Correct. Q. Do you recognize this document? A. Yes. Q. And this reflects the account with your fiancee? A. Yes. Q. And James Williams is your fiancee? A. Yes. Q. Do you have Exhibit 5 before you bearing
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	(Whereupon, Deposition Exhibit Numbers 2 through 6 were marked by the reporter for identification.) Q. (BY MR. BLANCHARD) Ms. Brumbaugh, you have a number of exhibits before you; is that correct? A. Yes. Q. Am I pronouncing your name correct, by the way? A. Brumbaugh.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 A. Correct. Q. Do you recognize this document? A. Yes. Q. And this reflects the account with your fiancee? A. Yes. Q. And James Williams is your fiancee? A. Yes. Q. Do you have Exhibit 5 before you bearing the Bates labels 0010 through 0017?
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	(Whereupon, Deposition Exhibit Numbers 2 through 6 were marked by the reporter for identification.) Q. (BY MR. BLANCHARD) Ms. Brumbaugh, you have a number of exhibits before you; is that correct? A. Yes. Q. Am I pronouncing your name correct, by the way? A. Brumbaugh. Q. Brumbaugh, great. Exhibit Number 2 is	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 A. Correct. Q. Do you recognize this document? A. Yes. Q. And this reflects the account with your fiancee? A. Yes. Q. And James Williams is your fiancee? A. Yes. Q. Do you have Exhibit 5 before you bearing the Bates labels 0010 through 0017? A. Yes.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	(Whereupon, Deposition Exhibit Numbers 2 through 6 were marked by the reporter for identification.) Q. (BY MR. BLANCHARD) Ms. Brumbaugh, you have a number of exhibits before you; is that correct? A. Yes. Q. Am I pronouncing your name correct, by the way? A. Brumbaugh. Q. Brumbaugh, great. Exhibit Number 2 is Bates-labeled beginning 0023. Do you recognize that	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 A. Correct. Q. Do you recognize this document? A. Yes. Q. And this reflects the account with your fiancee? A. Yes. Q. And James Williams is your fiancee? A. Yes. Q. Do you have Exhibit 5 before you bearing the Bates labels 0010 through 0017? A. Yes. Q. This is a T.D. Waterhouse account
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	(Whereupon, Deposition Exhibit Numbers 2 through 6 were marked by the reporter for identification.) Q. (BY MR. BLANCHARD) Ms. Brumbaugh, you have a number of exhibits before you; is that correct? A. Yes. Q. Am I pronouncing your name correct, by the way? A. Brumbaugh. Q. Brumbaugh, great. Exhibit Number 2 is Bates-labeled beginning 0023. Do you recognize that document?	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 A. Correct. Q. Do you recognize this document? A. Yes. Q. And this reflects the account with your fiancee? A. Yes. Q. And James Williams is your fiancee? A. Yes. Q. Do you have Exhibit 5 before you bearing the Bates labels 0010 through 0017? A. Yes. Q. This is a T.D. Waterhouse account statement?
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	(Whereupon, Deposition Exhibit Numbers 2 through 6 were marked by the reporter for identification.) Q. (BY MR. BLANCHARD) Ms. Brumbaugh, you have a number of exhibits before you; is that correct? A. Yes. Q. Am I pronouncing your name correct, by the way? A. Brumbaugh. Q. Brumbaugh, great. Exhibit Number 2 is Bates-labeled beginning 0023. Do you recognize that document? A. Uh-huh. It's my daughter's account.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	A. Correct. Q. Do you recognize this document? A. Yes. Q. And this reflects the account with your fiancee? A. Yes. Q. And James Williams is your fiancee? A. Yes. Q. Do you have Exhibit 5 before you bearing the Bates labels 0010 through 0017? A. Yes. Q. This is a T.D. Waterhouse account statement? A. Yes.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	(Whereupon, Deposition Exhibit Numbers 2 through 6 were marked by the reporter for identification.) Q. (BY MR. BLANCHARD) Ms. Brumbaugh, you have a number of exhibits before you; is that correct? A. Yes. Q. Am I pronouncing your name correct, by the way? A. Brumbaugh. Q. Brumbaugh, great. Exhibit Number 2 is Bates-labeled beginning 0023. Do you recognize that document? A. Uh-huh. It's my daughter's account. Q. It's an account statement from T.D.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	A. Correct. Q. Do you recognize this document? A. Yes. Q. And this reflects the account with your fiancee? A. Yes. Q. And James Williams is your fiancee? A. Yes. Q. Do you have Exhibit 5 before you bearing the Bates labels 0010 through 0017? A. Yes. Q. This is a T.D. Waterhouse account statement? A. Yes. Q. And this one only has your name, correct?
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	(Whereupon, Deposition Exhibit Numbers 2 through 6 were marked by the reporter for identification.) Q. (BY MR. BLANCHARD) Ms. Brumbaugh, you have a number of exhibits before you; is that correct? A. Yes. Q. Am I pronouncing your name correct, by the way? A. Brumbaugh. Q. Brumbaugh, great. Exhibit Number 2 is Bates-labeled beginning 0023. Do you recognize that document? A. Uh-huh. It's my daughter's account. Q. It's an account statement from T.D. Waterhouse, correct?	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	A. Correct. Q. Do you recognize this document? A. Yes. Q. And this reflects the account with your fiancee? A. Yes. Q. And James Williams is your fiancee? A. Yes. Q. Do you have Exhibit 5 before you bearing the Bates labels 0010 through 0017? A. Yes. Q. This is a T.D. Waterhouse account statement? A. Yes. Q. And this one only has your name, correct? A. Correct.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	(Whereupon, Deposition Exhibit Numbers 2 through 6 were marked by the reporter for identification.) Q. (BY MR. BLANCHARD) Ms. Brumbaugh, you have a number of exhibits before you; is that correct? A. Yes. Q. Am I pronouncing your name correct, by the way? A. Brumbaugh. Q. Brumbaugh, great. Exhibit Number 2 is Bates-labeled beginning 0023. Do you recognize that document? A. Uh-huh. It's my daughter's account. Q. It's an account statement from T.D. Waterhouse, correct? A. Yes.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 A. Correct. Q. Do you recognize this document? A. Yes. Q. And this reflects the account with your fiancee? A. Yes. Q. And James Williams is your fiancee? A. Yes. Q. Do you have Exhibit 5 before you bearing the Bates labels 0010 through 0017? A. Yes. Q. This is a T.D. Waterhouse account statement? A. Yes. Q. And this one only has your name, correct? A. Correct. Q. Do you recognize the document?
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	(Whereupon, Deposition Exhibit Numbers 2 through 6 were marked by the reporter for identification.) Q. (BY MR. BLANCHARD) Ms. Brumbaugh, you have a number of exhibits before you; is that correct? A. Yes. Q. Am I pronouncing your name correct, by the way? A. Brumbaugh. Q. Brumbaugh, great. Exhibit Number 2 is Bates-labeled beginning 0023. Do you recognize that document? A. Uh-huh. It's my daughter's account. Q. It's an account statement from T.D. Waterhouse, correct? A. Yes. Q. And it reflects an account with your name	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A. Correct. Q. Do you recognize this document? A. Yes. Q. And this reflects the account with your fiancee? A. Yes. Q. And James Williams is your fiancee? A. Yes. Q. Do you have Exhibit 5 before you bearing the Bates labels 0010 through 0017? A. Yes. Q. This is a T.D. Waterhouse account statement? A. Yes. Q. And this one only has your name, correct? A. Correct. Q. Do you recognize the document? A. Yes.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	(Whereupon, Deposition Exhibit Numbers 2 through 6 were marked by the reporter for identification.) Q. (BY MR. BLANCHARD) Ms. Brumbaugh, you have a number of exhibits before you; is that correct? A. Yes. Q. Am I pronouncing your name correct, by the way? A. Brumbaugh. Q. Brumbaugh, great. Exhibit Number 2 is Bates-labeled beginning 0023. Do you recognize that document? A. Uh-huh. It's my daughter's account. Q. It's an account statement from T.D. Waterhouse, correct? A. Yes. Q. And it reflects an account with your name as custodian?	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 A. Correct. Q. Do you recognize this document? A. Yes. Q. And this reflects the account with your fiancee? A. Yes. Q. And James Williams is your fiancee? A. Yes. Q. Do you have Exhibit 5 before you bearing the Bates labels 0010 through 0017? A. Yes. Q. This is a T.D. Waterhouse account statement? A. Yes. Q. And this one only has your name, correct? A. Correct. Q. Do you recognize the document? A. Yes. Q. And Exhibit Number 6? Do you see Exhibit
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	(Whereupon, Deposition Exhibit Numbers 2 through 6 were marked by the reporter for identification.) Q. (BY MR. BLANCHARD) Ms. Brumbaugh, you have a number of exhibits before you; is that correct? A. Yes. Q. Am I pronouncing your name correct, by the way? A. Brumbaugh. Q. Brumbaugh, great. Exhibit Number 2 is Bates-labeled beginning 0023. Do you recognize that document? A. Uh-huh. It's my daughter's account. Q. It's an account statement from T.D. Waterhouse, correct? A. Yes. Q. And it reflects an account with your name as custodian? A. Yes.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 A. Correct. Q. Do you recognize this document? A. Yes. Q. And this reflects the account with your fiancee? A. Yes. Q. And James Williams is your fiancee? A. Yes. Q. Do you have Exhibit 5 before you bearing the Bates labels 0010 through 0017? A. Yes. Q. This is a T.D. Waterhouse account statement? A. Yes. Q. And this one only has your name, correct? A. Correct. Q. Do you recognize the document? A. Yes. Q. And Exhibit Number 6? Do you see Exhibit Number 6?
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	(Whereupon, Deposition Exhibit Numbers 2 through 6 were marked by the reporter for identification.) Q. (BY MR. BLANCHARD) Ms. Brumbaugh, you have a number of exhibits before you; is that correct? A. Yes. Q. Am I pronouncing your name correct, by the way? A. Brumbaugh. Q. Brumbaugh, great. Exhibit Number 2 is Bates-labeled beginning 0023. Do you recognize that document? A. Uh-huh. It's my daughter's account. Q. It's an account statement from T.D. Waterhouse, correct? A. Yes. Q. And it reflects an account with your name as custodian? A. Yes. Q. And Kathryn R. Brumbaugh?	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A. Correct. Q. Do you recognize this document? A. Yes. Q. And this reflects the account with your fiancee? A. Yes. Q. And James Williams is your fiancee? A. Yes. Q. Do you have Exhibit 5 before you bearing the Bates labels 0010 through 0017? A. Yes. Q. This is a T.D. Waterhouse account statement? A. Yes. Q. And this one only has your name, correct? A. Correct. Q. Do you recognize the document? A. Yes. Q. And Exhibit Number 6? Do you see Exhibit Number 6? A. Yes.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	(Whereupon, Deposition Exhibit Numbers 2 through 6 were marked by the reporter for identification.) Q. (BY MR. BLANCHARD) Ms. Brumbaugh, you have a number of exhibits before you; is that correct? A. Yes. Q. Am I pronouncing your name correct, by the way? A. Brumbaugh. Q. Brumbaugh, great. Exhibit Number 2 is Bates-labeled beginning 0023. Do you recognize that document? A. Uh-huh. It's my daughter's account. Q. It's an account statement from T.D. Waterhouse, correct? A. Yes. Q. And it reflects an account with your name as custodian? A. Yes. Q. And Kathryn R. Brumbaugh? A. Yes.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 A. Correct. Q. Do you recognize this document? A. Yes. Q. And this reflects the account with your fiancee? A. Yes. Q. And James Williams is your fiancee? A. Yes. Q. Do you have Exhibit 5 before you bearing the Bates labels 0010 through 0017? A. Yes. Q. This is a T.D. Waterhouse account statement? A. Yes. Q. And this one only has your name, correct? A. Correct. Q. Do you recognize the document? A. Yes. Q. And Exhibit Number 6? Do you see Exhibit Number 6? A. Yes. Q. It bears the Bates label 0018 through
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	(Whereupon, Deposition Exhibit Numbers 2 through 6 were marked by the reporter for identification.) Q. (BY MR. BLANCHARD) Ms. Brumbaugh, you have a number of exhibits before you; is that correct? A. Yes. Q. Am I pronouncing your name correct, by the way? A. Brumbaugh. Q. Brumbaugh, great. Exhibit Number 2 is Bates-labeled beginning 0023. Do you recognize that document? A. Uh-huh. It's my daughter's account. Q. It's an account statement from T.D. Waterhouse, correct? A. Yes. Q. And it reflects an account with your name as custodian? A. Yes. Q. And Kathryn R. Brumbaugh? A. Yes. Q. And what's her role in that account?	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 A. Correct. Q. Do you recognize this document? A. Yes. Q. And this reflects the account with your fiancee? A. Yes. Q. And James Williams is your fiancee? A. Yes. Q. Do you have Exhibit 5 before you bearing the Bates labels 0010 through 0017? A. Yes. Q. This is a T.D. Waterhouse account statement? A. Yes. Q. And this one only has your name, correct? A. Correct. Q. Do you recognize the document? A. Yes. Q. And Exhibit Number 6? Do you see Exhibit Number 6? A. Yes. Q. It bears the Bates label 0018 through 0019. It's that there.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	(Whereupon, Deposition Exhibit Numbers 2 through 6 were marked by the reporter for identification.) Q. (BY MR. BLANCHARD) Ms. Brumbaugh, you have a number of exhibits before you; is that correct? A. Yes. Q. Am I pronouncing your name correct, by the way? A. Brumbaugh. Q. Brumbaugh, great. Exhibit Number 2 is Bates-labeled beginning 0023. Do you recognize that document? A. Uh-huh. It's my daughter's account. Q. It's an account statement from T.D. Waterhouse, correct? A. Yes. Q. And it reflects an account with your name as custodian? A. Yes. Q. And Kathryn R. Brumbaugh? A. Yes.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	A. Correct. Q. Do you recognize this document? A. Yes. Q. And this reflects the account with your fiancee? A. Yes. Q. And James Williams is your fiancee? A. Yes. Q. Do you have Exhibit 5 before you bearing the Bates labels 0010 through 0017? A. Yes. Q. This is a T.D. Waterhouse account statement? A. Yes. Q. And this one only has your name, correct? A. Correct. Q. Do you recognize the document? A. Yes. Q. And Exhibit Number 6? Do you see Exhibit Number 6? A. Yes. Q. It bears the Bates label 0018 through 0019. It's that there.

	Page 30		Page 32
1	Q. Correct?	1	A. So that's my only experience with mutual
2	A. Correct.	2	funds.
3	Q. This is a Scottrade account statement?	3	Q. Limited partnerships? Have you ever
4	A. Yes.	4	invested in limited partnerships?
5	Q. And it has only your name on it, correct?	5	A. No.
6	It's a Roth IRA?	6	Q. Have you ever invested in mortgage-backed
7	A. Yes.	7	securities?
8	Q. During the period January, 2003 through	8	A. No.
9	January, 2004, did you have accounts other than the	9	Q. Do you invest in debt securities? A. No.
11	accounts reflected by these documents, "these documents" meaning Exhibits 1 through 6?	11	
12	A. These are the only accounts that I traded	12	Q. Bonds? A. No.
13	Wave stock in.	13	Q. No debentures?
14	Q. Did you have accounts that you traded	14	A. (Witness shaking head negatively). Now
15	other stocks in?	15	there may have been spin-offs in my past, or
16	A. Yes.	16	something, that could have gone to debentures. I
17	Q. Do you know how many?	17	don't know.
18	A. Two.	18	Q. What do you mean by spin-offs?
19	Q. Do you recall who the brokers were for	19	A. Oh, there used to be a company
20	those accounts?	20	Freeport-McMoRan that was one of the holdings for my
21	A. Charles Schwab, and the other, my Schwab	21	aunt, and it seems like it had a lot of weird
22	IRA account.	22	spin-offs that eventually, you know, sold off. I
23	Q. And that Charles Schwab account, is that	23	don't know what they were.
24	an account that you were allowing your broker to	24	Q. What do you mean by a spin-off? The
25	make trades on your behalf?	25	company sold a subsidiary?
		 	
١.	Page 31		Page 33
1	A. No.	1	A. No. They either break apart their company
2	Q. It was a non-discretionary account?	2	into little smaller ventures, or something.
3	A. Which means?	3	Q. Uh-huh. So they restructure the company?
4	Q. Which means that the broker could not make	4	A. Yeah.
5	a trade unless you directed him to make a trade? A. Correct.	5	Q. But do you recall whether you invested in debt securities as a result of those
7		6 7	
8	Q. All right. The same with the other Schwab account, correct?	8	A. I've never purchased anything, no.Q. Currently is all of your investment in
9	A. Correct.	9	stocks?
10	Q. Were there any other accounts at the time?	10	A. Yes.
11	A. No.	11	Q. Have you ever purchased options?
12	Q. Have you ever invested in commodities?	12	A. No.
13	A. No.	13	Q. I'll back up. At the time you were
14	Q. Mutual funds?	14	investing in Wave, was all of your investment in
15	A. I don't think so. I have a thrift plan	15	stocks?
16	through my work at the Post Office that might be	16	A. Yes.
17	like a mutual fund, but I don't, you know, the money	17	Q. Have you ever purchased futures?
18	just goes in, and I decide whether it goes in the C	18	A. No.
19	Fund or the F Fund, or you know, so I don't know if	19	Q. Have you ever done puts?
20	technically that's a mutual fund.	20	A. No.
21	Q. Uh-huh.	21	Q. Calls?
22	A. And when my great aunt passed away there	22	A. No. Q. Short sales?
23 24	were two mutual fund holdings in that, that I probably sold at the end of the '90s.	23 24	
25	Q. Uh-huh.	25	A. No. Q. Margin purchases?
23	Q. On hum	25	4. Ligital harcingses:
78/98/200		ORNAL SANSTER PAR	

	Page 34		Page 36
1	A. No.	1	is different?
2	Q. What's the current value of your	2	A. Yes.
3	investment portfolio?	3	Q. How you purchase it?
4	MS. REILLY: If you know.	4	A. Yes.
5	A. I don't know.	5	Q. What's the single largest value of any one
6	Q. (BY MR. BLANCHARD) Do you recall what the	6	stock issue you owned in 2003?
7	value of your portfolio was in 2003?	7	A. Now what is what?
8	A. No. And 2003, I mean it changes every	8	Q. What is the largest do you recall what
9	month. No, I don't know. I have no idea.	9	else you were invested in in 2003, at the time you
10	Q. Do you recall the high and the low in	10	held Wave stock?
11	2003?	11	A. I thought you asked me what would be the
12	A. No.	12	largest value.
13	Q. Do you recall either of them?	13	Q. I did.
14	A. No.	14	A. Okay.
15	Q. Do you know how much your portfolio has	15	Q. I'm trying to back up and ask a clearer
16	fluctuated in value over the past five years?	16	question. I'm sorry.
17	A. No, because there's been, you know, the	17	A. Okay.
18	fluctuation, plus putting in, so just to know how	18	Q. Do you recall how many stocks you owned
19	much the price is fluctuating, you don't.	19	along with Wave during 2003?
20	Q. Uh-huh. Do you day trade?	20	A. Probably 15 to 20.
21	A. No.	21	Q. Do you recall what your largest position
22	Q. Are your investments long-term positions?	22	in any one company was?
23	A. I go in with that intent, yes.	23	A. Probably Sprint or V. F. Corporation.
24	Q. Do you ever go in with a different intent?	24	Q. Do you recall the value of either of those
25	A. No. Usually I mean I like to see I	25	during that time frame?
	A. No. Osaany Thican Time to see T		during tractaine traine.
	Page 35		Page 37
1	would like to hold on and see appreciation for at	1	A. Probably around I'm just guessing, 25
2	least a year, because you have, you know, your tax	2	or 30.
3	consequences are greater	3	Q. 25 or 30 what?
4	Q. Uh-huh.	4	A. Thousand.
5	A on a short-term.	5	Q. Do you recognize that investments in
6	Q. Uh-huh. When you buy a stock, do you	6	stocks involve risks?
7	generally buy a particular number of shares?	7	A. Yes.
8	A. No.	8	Q. Do you believe that all company ventures
9	Q. Do you buy in increments?	9	are equally risky?
10	A. What do you mean?	10	A. No.
11	Q. Typically?	11	Q. What causes the variation in risks?
12	A. You mean buying some, and then buying more	12	A. Well, I feel like things are cyclical, I
13	later?	13	think company management, I think just the demand
14	Q. Yeah.	14	for whatever product the company has. Well, I mean
15	A. Sometimes; not necessarily. I have this	15	there's a lot of things.
16	long-range plan to buy in increments, but if, you	16	Q. Do you believe companies in certain
17	know, I buy a stock that I feel is good, and that	17	markets are riskier than other markets?
18	reduces, you know, sometimes you might buy more.	18	A. Define "market."
19	Or sometimes, you know, I might buy half	19	Q. Well, for instance, companies within the
20	of what I'm going to buy, to see, you know, how the	20	tech industry or tech stocks. Are tech stocks
21	stock does, and then make a decision to buy more,	21	riskier than large cap companies?
22	but not I don't purposely set out as a habit	22	A. I think you have to look at each one
23	thinking, "Okay, I'm going to buy so much every so	23	individually to determine risks.
24	often."	24	Q. What industry was Wave in at the time you
25	Q. Would it be fair to say that every stock	25	purchased?
	•		

		1	
ĺ	Page 38		Page 40
1	 A. Well, I would consider them a software 	1	A. Huh?
2	company.	2	Q. When was that?
3	 Q. Do you view companies like Wave to be 	3	 A. I've had a loss. There is no income.
4	riskier investments?	4	Q. For every year?
5	MS. REILLY: Objection to form.	5	A. For up until let's see, not every
6	A. You'd have to look at each company. I	6	year. I mean the past years, the past few years, I
7	don't think you can make a general	7	have.
8	Q. (BY MR. BLANCHARD) Did you view Wave as a	8	Q. You've only incurred losses in the past
9	risky investment at the time?	9	few years?
10	A. I view every stock purchase as somewhat of	10	A. Yes.
11	a risk.	11	Q. Do you remember the last year that you
12	Q. But did you view Wave risky at the time?	12	made a profit?
13	A. As much as anyone else.	13	A. 2001, I believe, or 2. I'm not sure.
14	Q. Any more than any other?	14	Q. Have you ever bought or sold a security to
15	A. Well, it's a general rule that	15	achieve tax benefits?
16	smaller-valued stocks can be a higher risk, but	16	A. No, I mean not for that reason. I mean
17	Q. And Wave was a smaller valued stock?	17	you do receive tax benefits if you sell a stock for
18	A. Well, a smaller priced stock. Some of the	18	a loss before the end of the year, but as far as
19	investment people have made that determination, the	19	just selling it to have the loss, no.
20	smaller price of stock.	20	Q. I think you mentioned a few sources of
21	Q. When you make your investments do you rely	21	information you use when you make decisions on
22	on other individuals at all?	22	investment purchases before.
23	A. No. Let me back up, because you asked me	23	I'll just ask you a few others. Do you
24	if I considered Wave to be more of a risk, and I	24	read the Wall Street Journal?
25	guess to look at it, I mean I guess I didn't think	25	A. I have, but I don't read it regularly.
١,	Page 39	,	Page 41
1	of it at the time as any more of a risk than any	1	Q. The New York Times Business Section?
3	other stock that I purchased. Q. Do you have a particular investment	2	A. No.
4	strategy or goal?	4	Q. Baron's?
5	A. Well, to increase capital. Is that what	5	A. I mean I've read it once or twice, but I don't read it regularly.
6	you mean?	6	Q. Fortune?
7	Q. And I think you said that you tend to be	7	A. No.
8	in companies for the long-term.	8	Q. Business Week?
9	A. I like to be. I mean, yes.	9	A. No. I have, but I don't.
10	Q. Do you avoid certain types of investments?	10	Q. Forbes?
11	A. Well, obviously, I've avoided mutual	11	A. No. I have, but I don't.
12	funds, and bonds, and commodities.	12	Q. Any other financial press you read?
13	Q. Do you avoid any particular types of	13	A. I have a subscription or have in the past
14	companies to invest in?	14	to Smart Money magazine.
15	A. No.	15	Q. Is that the only one?
16	Q. What proportion of your annual income is	16	A. Oh, in the past there was another one I
17	derived from earnings on investments in securities?	17	had. I don't remember what it is now. That was
18	A. Would you repeat that?	18	Kiplinger's, I think is the one that I've had
19	Q. What portion of your annual income I'll	19	before.
20	back up.	20	Q. When did you first start using the
21	A. Zero.	21	internet to research investments on-line?
	Q. Zero proportion of your annual income is	22	A. Probably 1999 or 2000.
22			
23	derived from your investments in securities?	23	Q. I think you said you used Quicken,
23 24	derived from your investments in securities? A. Yes. I've had a loss.	24	correct?
23	derived from your investments in securities?		

	Registered Profe	55101	idi Neportera
	Page 42		Page 4
1	Q. And Smart Money?	1	different stocks that they think would be a good
2	A. And Morningstar.	2	investment, and then make decisions as a group to
3	Q. And Morningstar. Are there any others?	3	invest the money that they've pooled.
4	A. T.D. Waterhouse website, Schwab website,	4	Q. So you've put your \$200 into that group?
5	and Scottrade has a website.	5	A. Yes.
6	Q. Do you watch financial programs on T.V.?	6	Q. And you pay your \$20 bucks a month?
7	A. Not really.	Ĭž	A. Yes.
8	Q. Do you watch Wall Street Week?	8	Q. Do you participate in their discussions?
9	•	9	A. I haven't since I moved in 2001.
10		10	
		11	<u> </u>
11	A. No.		
12	Q. CNBC?	12	Q. Did you learn about investing through that
13	A. No.	13	group?
14	Q. Bloomberg News?	14	A. Somewhat.
15	A. No.	15	Q. Were any of the individuals in that group
16	Q. Where do you get stock price information?	16	involved in the securities industry, other than
17	A. Same place. Either within the account I'm	17	their participation in the group?
18	trading, or Morningstar, or Smart Money, or Quicken,	18	A. No, and that's what it is, it's a learning
19	but the up-to-date prices are with the accounts that	19	group. I mean that's what it's geared for, to help
20	I own, that I have accounts with, I mean the firms.	20	individuals learn.
21	Either Schwab or Scottrade or T.D.	21	Q. Has that group made a profit?
22	Waterhouse have the up-to-date prices.	22	A. Yes.
23	 Q. Do you maintain any files on the companies 	23	Q. Do you remember the last year that group
24	you invest in?	24	made a profit?
25	A. No.	25	A. Well, what do you consider making a
	Page 43		Page 4
1	Q. Do you maintain any computer files in	1	profit; when you actually trade a security and show
2	electronic format?	2	a profit on it, or just that your portfolio is
3	A. On the companies?	3	increasing?
4	Q. Yes.	4	Q. I guess just the portfolio is increasing.
5	A. No. I read whatever information as it	5	A. I think it's made somewhat of a profit
6	comes, and then I disburse it.	6	every year; nothing significant.
7	Q. Do you ever discuss your securities	7	
8	purchases with other people?	8	Q. Does everyone in the entire group, you know, come to an agreement on particular
9	A. No.	9	investments?
10	Q. Have you ever talked to officials at	10	A. No. I mean it goes on a I think it has
11	companies before investing in them?	11	to have a two-thirds you have to have a quorum
12	A. No.	12	before you can even make any type of investment
13	Q. Do you ever listen to investor conference	13	decision, so I'm sure there's some decisions that
14	calls?	14	other people maybe would have made, but you have to
15	A. I haven't.	15	go with the consensus of the group.
16	Q. Do you, or have you ever, currently	16	Q. Is that group incorporated in any way?
17	belonged to an investment club?	17	A. I'm not sure.
18	A. Yes.	18	Q. Do you know whether they're an L.L.C. or
19	Q. What's the name of that club?	19	
20	A. Purchasing Partners.	20	some other entity? A. I should know because, you know, I get a
21	Q. Could you describe the nature of that	21	
22	club?	1	form from them at the end of the year for income tax
23		22 23	purposes. Q. Uh-huh.
24	A. Well, right now it's a group of about ten people that initially put in \$200, and then they pay	23	Q. Uh-huh. A. But I'm not sure how it's set up.
147	Deopie aliat military dat ili 3200, alia tileli tilev Dav	47	A. DULTHI HOLDUIC HOW ILD 3CL UD.
25	\$20 a month, and they get together and discuss	25	Q. Did that group have any investment in

		,	
	Page 46		Page 48
1	Wave?	1	Q. Under Paragraph 4, that paragraph reads,
2	A. No.	2	"Plaintiffs' transactions in the Wave Systems
3	Q. And you haven't attended a meeting since	3	Corporation (NASDAQ: WAVX) security that is the
4	2001, you say, correct?	4	subject of this action during the class period
5	A. Correct.	5	is/are as follows," it has 1,700 shares purchased on
6	Q. You don't employ the services of any stock	6	August 4th, of 2003, correct?
7	broker or investment advisor?	7	A. Yes.
8	A. No.	8	Q. At \$4.76 a share?
9	Q. And you did not at the time you purchased	9	A. Yes.
10	Wave securities?	10	Q. Another 3,300 purchased on August 4th at
11	A. No.	11	\$4.73 per share, correct?
12	Q. Have you ever offered investment advice to	12	A. Yes.
13	other persons, other than in that group?	13	Q. Another 1,800 purchased on August 4th of
14	A. No.	14	'03 at \$4.62 per share, right?
15	Q. Do you want to take a break for a minute?	15	A. Yes.
16	A. Yeah.	16	Q. Another 3,000 purchased on August 5th, of
17	Q. I could use one, personally.	17	'03 at \$4.65 per share, correct?
18	(Recess).	18	A. Yes.
19	(Whereupon, Deposition Exhibit Number 7 was marked	19	Q. And then it refers to an attached sheet.
20	by the reporter for identification.)	20	The attached sheet refers to a purchase of 300
21	Q. (BY MR. BLANCHARD) Ms. Brumbaugh, you	21	shares on August 4th at \$4.61 per share, correct?
22	have Exhibit Number 7 before you?	22	A. Yes.
23	A. Uh-huh.	23	Q. And another purchase on August 4th of 550
24	Q. Do you recognize that document?	24	shares at \$4.57 per share, correct?
25	A. Yes.	25	A. Yes.
			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
-			
	Page 47		Page 49
1	Q. What is that?	1	Q. There is also purchases for, on August 6th
2	Q. What is that?A. I guess it's the form that I sent in,	2	Q. There is also purchases for, on August 6th for 2,000 shares at \$3.78 a share?
2	Q. What is that? A. I guess it's the form that I sent in, showing when I had purchased the shares of Wave.	2 3	Q. There is also purchases for, on August 6th for 2,000 shares at \$3.78 a share? A. Yes.
2 3 4	Q. What is that?A. I guess it's the form that I sent in,showing when I had purchased the shares of Wave.Q. It's titled, "Certification of named	2 3 4	Q. There is also purchases for, on August 6th for 2,000 shares at \$3.78 a share? A. Yes. Q. And another purchase on August 6th of 750
2 3 4 5	 Q. What is that? A. I guess it's the form that I sent in, showing when I had purchased the shares of Wave. Q. It's titled, "Certification of named plaintiff pursuant to Federal securities laws," 	2 3 4 5	Q. There is also purchases for, on August 6th for 2,000 shares at \$3.78 a share? A. Yes. Q. And another purchase on August 6th of 750 shares at \$3.92 per share?
2 3 4 5 6	 Q. What is that? A. I guess it's the form that I sent in, showing when I had purchased the shares of Wave. Q. It's titled, "Certification of named plaintiff pursuant to Federal securities laws," correct? 	2 3 4 5 6	Q. There is also purchases for, on August 6th for 2,000 shares at \$3.78 a share? A. Yes. Q. And another purchase on August 6th of 750 shares at \$3.92 per share? A. Yes. And I should clarify. I think these
2 3 4 5 6 7	 Q. What is that? A. I guess it's the form that I sent in, showing when I had purchased the shares of Wave. Q. It's titled, "Certification of named plaintiff pursuant to Federal securities laws," correct? A. Yes. 	2 3 4 5 6 7	Q. There is also purchases for, on August 6th for 2,000 shares at \$3.78 a share? A. Yes. Q. And another purchase on August 6th of 750 shares at \$3.92 per share? A. Yes. And I should clarify. I think these shares doesn't mean that I made trades for that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 Q. What is that? A. I guess it's the form that I sent in, showing when I had purchased the shares of Wave. Q. It's titled, "Certification of named plaintiff pursuant to Federal securities laws," correct? A. Yes. Q. Is that your signature on the first page? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Q. There is also purchases for, on August 6th for 2,000 shares at \$3.78 a share? A. Yes. Q. And another purchase on August 6th of 750 shares at \$3.92 per share? A. Yes. And I should clarify. I think these shares doesn't mean that I made trades for that amount.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 Q. What is that? A. I guess it's the form that I sent in, showing when I had purchased the shares of Wave. Q. It's titled, "Certification of named plaintiff pursuant to Federal securities laws," correct? A. Yes. Q. Is that your signature on the first page? A. Yes. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Q. There is also purchases for, on August 6th for 2,000 shares at \$3.78 a share? A. Yes. Q. And another purchase on August 6th of 750 shares at \$3.92 per share? A. Yes. And I should clarify. I think these shares doesn't mean that I made trades for that amount. What happens is sometimes you put it in
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 Q. What is that? A. I guess it's the form that I sent in, showing when I had purchased the shares of Wave. Q. It's titled, "Certification of named plaintiff pursuant to Federal securities laws," correct? A. Yes. Q. Is that your signature on the first page? A. Yes. Q. It's a two-page document, correct? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Q. There is also purchases for, on August 6th for 2,000 shares at \$3.78 a share? A. Yes. Q. And another purchase on August 6th of 750 shares at \$3.92 per share? A. Yes. And I should clarify. I think these shares doesn't mean that I made trades for that amount. What happens is sometimes you put it in for a certain number of shares, and then the way
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 Q. What is that? A. I guess it's the form that I sent in, showing when I had purchased the shares of Wave. Q. It's titled, "Certification of named plaintiff pursuant to Federal securities laws," correct? A. Yes. Q. Is that your signature on the first page? A. Yes. Q. It's a two-page document, correct? A. Yes. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Q. There is also purchases for, on August 6th for 2,000 shares at \$3.78 a share? A. Yes. Q. And another purchase on August 6th of 750 shares at \$3.92 per share? A. Yes. And I should clarify. I think these shares doesn't mean that I made trades for that amount. What happens is sometimes you put it in for a certain number of shares, and then the way that they are put in the system, it does it in
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 Q. What is that? A. I guess it's the form that I sent in, showing when I had purchased the shares of Wave. Q. It's titled, "Certification of named plaintiff pursuant to Federal securities laws," correct? A. Yes. Q. Is that your signature on the first page? A. Yes. Q. It's a two-page document, correct? A. Yes. Q. And the first page appears to be a form 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Q. There is also purchases for, on August 6th for 2,000 shares at \$3.78 a share? A. Yes. Q. And another purchase on August 6th of 750 shares at \$3.92 per share? A. Yes. And I should clarify. I think these shares doesn't mean that I made trades for that amount. What happens is sometimes you put it in for a certain number of shares, and then the way that they are put in the system, it does it in little blocks like that.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 Q. What is that? A. I guess it's the form that I sent in, showing when I had purchased the shares of Wave. Q. It's titled, "Certification of named plaintiff pursuant to Federal securities laws," correct? A. Yes. Q. Is that your signature on the first page? A. Yes. Q. It's a two-page document, correct? A. Yes. Q. And the first page appears to be a form that you filled in some blanks on? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Q. There is also purchases for, on August 6th for 2,000 shares at \$3.78 a share? A. Yes. Q. And another purchase on August 6th of 750 shares at \$3.92 per share? A. Yes. And I should clarify. I think these shares doesn't mean that I made trades for that amount. What happens is sometimes you put it in for a certain number of shares, and then the way that they are put in the system, it does it in little blocks like that. Q. Okay.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 Q. What is that? A. I guess it's the form that I sent in, showing when I had purchased the shares of Wave. Q. It's titled, "Certification of named plaintiff pursuant to Federal securities laws," correct? A. Yes. Q. Is that your signature on the first page? A. Yes. Q. It's a two-page document, correct? A. Yes. Q. And the first page appears to be a form that you filled in some blanks on? A. Yes. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Q. There is also purchases for, on August 6th for 2,000 shares at \$3.78 a share? A. Yes. Q. And another purchase on August 6th of 750 shares at \$3.92 per share? A. Yes. And I should clarify. I think these shares doesn't mean that I made trades for that amount. What happens is sometimes you put it in for a certain number of shares, and then the way that they are put in the system, it does it in little blocks like that. Q. Okay. A. It's not that I made three different
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 Q. What is that? A. I guess it's the form that I sent in, showing when I had purchased the shares of Wave. Q. It's titled, "Certification of named plaintiff pursuant to Federal securities laws," correct? A. Yes. Q. Is that your signature on the first page? A. Yes. Q. It's a two-page document, correct? A. Yes. Q. And the first page appears to be a form that you filled in some blanks on? A. Yes. Q. And then the second page is an addendum, 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Q. There is also purchases for, on August 6th for 2,000 shares at \$3.78 a share? A. Yes. Q. And another purchase on August 6th of 750 shares at \$3.92 per share? A. Yes. And I should clarify. I think these shares doesn't mean that I made trades for that amount. What happens is sometimes you put it in for a certain number of shares, and then the way that they are put in the system, it does it in little blocks like that. Q. Okay. A. It's not that I made three different trades.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 Q. What is that? A. I guess it's the form that I sent in, showing when I had purchased the shares of Wave. Q. It's titled, "Certification of named plaintiff pursuant to Federal securities laws," correct? A. Yes. Q. Is that your signature on the first page? A. Yes. Q. It's a two-page document, correct? A. Yes. Q. And the first page appears to be a form that you filled in some blanks on? A. Yes. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Q. There is also purchases for, on August 6th for 2,000 shares at \$3.78 a share? A. Yes. Q. And another purchase on August 6th of 750 shares at \$3.92 per share? A. Yes. And I should clarify. I think these shares doesn't mean that I made trades for that amount. What happens is sometimes you put it in for a certain number of shares, and then the way that they are put in the system, it does it in little blocks like that. Q. Okay. A. It's not that I made three different trades. Q. Uh-huh.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 Q. What is that? A. I guess it's the form that I sent in, showing when I had purchased the shares of Wave. Q. It's titled, "Certification of named plaintiff pursuant to Federal securities laws," correct? A. Yes. Q. Is that your signature on the first page? A. Yes. Q. It's a two-page document, correct? A. Yes. Q. And the first page appears to be a form that you filled in some blanks on? A. Yes. Q. And then the second page is an addendum, correct A. Yes. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Q. There is also purchases for, on August 6th for 2,000 shares at \$3.78 a share? A. Yes. Q. And another purchase on August 6th of 750 shares at \$3.92 per share? A. Yes. And I should clarify. I think these shares doesn't mean that I made trades for that amount. What happens is sometimes you put it in for a certain number of shares, and then the way that they are put in the system, it does it in little blocks like that. Q. Okay. A. It's not that I made three different trades. Q. Uh-huh. A. I made one, and then that's how the system
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 Q. What is that? A. I guess it's the form that I sent in, showing when I had purchased the shares of Wave. Q. It's titled, "Certification of named plaintiff pursuant to Federal securities laws," correct? A. Yes. Q. Is that your signature on the first page? A. Yes. Q. It's a two-page document, correct? A. Yes. Q. And the first page appears to be a form that you filled in some blanks on? A. Yes. Q. And then the second page is an addendum, correct A. Yes. Q of some sort? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Q. There is also purchases for, on August 6th for 2,000 shares at \$3.78 a share? A. Yes. Q. And another purchase on August 6th of 750 shares at \$3.92 per share? A. Yes. And I should clarify. I think these shares doesn't mean that I made trades for that amount. What happens is sometimes you put it in for a certain number of shares, and then the way that they are put in the system, it does it in little blocks like that. Q. Okay. A. It's not that I made three different trades. Q. Uh-huh. A. I made one, and then that's how the system processed it.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 Q. What is that? A. I guess it's the form that I sent in, showing when I had purchased the shares of Wave. Q. It's titled, "Certification of named plaintiff pursuant to Federal securities laws," correct? A. Yes. Q. Is that your signature on the first page? A. Yes. Q. It's a two-page document, correct? A. Yes. Q. And the first page appears to be a form that you filled in some blanks on? A. Yes. Q. And then the second page is an addendum, correct A. Yes. Q of some sort? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q. There is also purchases for, on August 6th for 2,000 shares at \$3.78 a share? A. Yes. Q. And another purchase on August 6th of 750 shares at \$3.92 per share? A. Yes. And I should clarify. I think these shares doesn't mean that I made trades for that amount. What happens is sometimes you put it in for a certain number of shares, and then the way that they are put in the system, it does it in little blocks like that. Q. Okay. A. It's not that I made three different trades. Q. Uh-huh. A. I made one, and then that's how the system processed it. Q. And I was going to ask you that. So on
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 Q. What is that? A. I guess it's the form that I sent in, showing when I had purchased the shares of Wave. Q. It's titled, "Certification of named plaintiff pursuant to Federal securities laws," correct? A. Yes. Q. Is that your signature on the first page? A. Yes. Q. It's a two-page document, correct? A. Yes. Q. And the first page appears to be a form that you filled in some blanks on? A. Yes. Q. And then the second page is an addendum, correct A. Yes. Q of some sort? A. Yes. Well, it's additions to the list 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Q. There is also purchases for, on August 6th for 2,000 shares at \$3.78 a share? A. Yes. Q. And another purchase on August 6th of 750 shares at \$3.92 per share? A. Yes. And I should clarify. I think these shares doesn't mean that I made trades for that amount. What happens is sometimes you put it in for a certain number of shares, and then the way that they are put in the system, it does it in little blocks like that. Q. Okay. A. It's not that I made three different trades. Q. Uh-huh. A. I made one, and then that's how the system processed it.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 Q. What is that? A. I guess it's the form that I sent in, showing when I had purchased the shares of Wave. Q. It's titled, "Certification of named plaintiff pursuant to Federal securities laws," correct? A. Yes. Q. Is that your signature on the first page? A. Yes. Q. It's a two-page document, correct? A. Yes. Q. And the first page appears to be a form that you filled in some blanks on? A. Yes. Q. And then the second page is an addendum, correct A. Yes. Q of some sort? A. Yes. Well, it's additions to the list that didn't fit on that page. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Q. There is also purchases for, on August 6th for 2,000 shares at \$3.78 a share? A. Yes. Q. And another purchase on August 6th of 750 shares at \$3.92 per share? A. Yes. And I should clarify. I think these shares doesn't mean that I made trades for that amount. What happens is sometimes you put it in for a certain number of shares, and then the way that they are put in the system, it does it in little blocks like that. Q. Okay. A. It's not that I made three different trades. Q. Uh-huh. A. I made one, and then that's how the system processed it. Q. And I was going to ask you that. So on August 4th, did you put in a single order for Wave stock?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 Q. What is that? A. I guess it's the form that I sent in, showing when I had purchased the shares of Wave. Q. It's titled, "Certification of named plaintiff pursuant to Federal securities laws," correct? A. Yes. Q. Is that your signature on the first page? A. Yes. Q. It's a two-page document, correct? A. Yes. Q. And the first page appears to be a form that you filled in some blanks on? A. Yes. Q. And then the second page is an addendum, correct A. Yes. Q of some sort? A. Yes. Well, it's additions to the list that didn't fit on that page. Q. An attached sheet? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q. There is also purchases for, on August 6th for 2,000 shares at \$3.78 a share? A. Yes. Q. And another purchase on August 6th of 750 shares at \$3.92 per share? A. Yes. And I should clarify. I think these shares doesn't mean that I made trades for that amount. What happens is sometimes you put it in for a certain number of shares, and then the way that they are put in the system, it does it in little blocks like that. Q. Okay. A. It's not that I made three different trades. Q. Uh-huh. A. I made one, and then that's how the system processed it. Q. And I was going to ask you that. So on August 4th, did you put in a single order for Wave stock? A. I'd have to look back at the trade
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 Q. What is that? A. I guess it's the form that I sent in, showing when I had purchased the shares of Wave. Q. It's titled, "Certification of named plaintiff pursuant to Federal securities laws," correct? A. Yes. Q. Is that your signature on the first page? A. Yes. Q. It's a two-page document, correct? A. Yes. Q. And the first page appears to be a form that you filled in some blanks on? A. Yes. Q. And then the second page is an addendum, correct A. Yes. Q of some sort? A. Yes. Well, it's additions to the list that didn't fit on that page. Q. An attached sheet? A. Yeah. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q. There is also purchases for, on August 6th for 2,000 shares at \$3.78 a share? A. Yes. Q. And another purchase on August 6th of 750 shares at \$3.92 per share? A. Yes. And I should clarify. I think these shares doesn't mean that I made trades for that amount. What happens is sometimes you put it in for a certain number of shares, and then the way that they are put in the system, it does it in little blocks like that. Q. Okay. A. It's not that I made three different trades. Q. Uh-huh. A. I made one, and then that's how the system processed it. Q. And I was going to ask you that. So on August 4th, did you put in a single order for Wave stock? A. I'd have to look back at the trade confirmation and see how it executed it.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 Q. What is that? A. I guess it's the form that I sent in, showing when I had purchased the shares of Wave. Q. It's titled, "Certification of named plaintiff pursuant to Federal securities laws," correct? A. Yes. Q. Is that your signature on the first page? A. Yes. Q. It's a two-page document, correct? A. Yes. Q. And the first page appears to be a form that you filled in some blanks on? A. Yes. Q. And then the second page is an addendum, correct A. Yes. Q of some sort? A. Yes. Well, it's additions to the list that didn't fit on that page. Q. An attached sheet? A. Yeah. Q. And that's the attached sheet that's 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Q. There is also purchases for, on August 6th for 2,000 shares at \$3.78 a share? A. Yes. Q. And another purchase on August 6th of 750 shares at \$3.92 per share? A. Yes. And I should clarify. I think these shares doesn't mean that I made trades for that amount. What happens is sometimes you put it in for a certain number of shares, and then the way that they are put in the system, it does it in little blocks like that. Q. Okay. A. It's not that I made three different trades. Q. Uh-huh. A. I made one, and then that's how the system processed it. Q. And I was going to ask you that. So on August 4th, did you put in a single order for Wave stock? A. I'd have to look back at the trade confirmation and see how it executed it.

Page 52 Page 50 signed, correct? It's on the second page of Exhibit Q. That's your recollection? 1 2 2 Yeah. Usually you can tell on the trade 3 confirmation because they will only have a fee on MS. REILLY: Is this it? 3 (BY MR. BLANCHARD) It's the second entry one of them and the rest will not have a fee. 4 4 Q. Uh-huh. 5 on the second page of Exhibit 7. 5 6 A. And so that's how I would go back and tell 6 A. Yes, I suppose that's it. 7 Q. If you'll go to Exhibit 2, the trade 7 for sure. confirmation appears as the last page. 8 Q. Okay. Is it your recollection that that's 8 9 A. Uh-huh, yes. what you did? 9 This reflects a purchase on August 4th, 10 A. I would think that that's what I would 10 Q. correct? 11 have done. 11 Q. Is it your recollection that you made a A. Correct. 12 12 separate purchase on August 5th? Q. As well. I believe it's 1,800 shares; is 13 13 14 A. It appears that way. 14 that correct? Q. And you made a separate purchase on 15 Well, you can't really tell from this 15 Α. August 6th? 16 16 copy. A. It looks that way. I would have to go 17 17 It's difficult to read the copy. I'm Q. back and look at the trade confirmations. 18 18 sorry. Q. Do you have the trade confirmations? MS. REILLY: It does look like \$4.62. 19 19 20 A. You might. 20 A. It does. It looks consistent with what's Well, I can't tell. 21 21 on the certification sheet. Q. For instance, if you go to Exhibit 2 --22 22 Q. (BY MR. BLANCHARD) So if we go to Exhibit A. I'm sure the other days were separate 23 23 7, the certification sheet, Exhibit 2 -- the trade 24 trade confirmations. I couldn't say with any confirmation in Exhibit 2 reflects the purchase that certainty whether that was one on the 4th, but is the third entry on Exhibit 7, the first page, 25 Page 51 Page 53 that's what I would assume it to be, because I mean 1 correct? 2 that's the way I would have done it. 2 A. Yes. Q. All right. Hang on. We'll just go off 3 3 Q. And that's for 1800 shares at \$4.62 a the record for a minute. 4 4 share, correct? 5 (Off the record discussion.) 5 A. Yes, I think so. Q. If we go to Exhibit 3, the confirmation Q. (BY MR. BLANCHARD) Okay. During our 6 6 brief break, we -- well, you reviewed Exhibits 1 7 7 page is 0030, the last page of Exhibit 3. That reflects 300 shares purchased, correct? 8 through 6, correct? 8 A. Yes. 9 9 A. Yes, at a price of \$4.61, right. Correct, and that appears on Exhibit 7, 10 Okay. Exhibit 1 has a trade confirmation, 10 11 it was the last page, that's marked BRUM 0009. 11 the second page, the first entry, correct? 12 MS. REILLY: Hold on one second. 12 Yes. A. 13 MR. BLANCHARD: Sorry. 13 Exhibit 4, the last page also has a trade confirmation, correct? 14 Α. Okay. 14 15 Q. (BY MR. BLANCHARD) Can you tell me what 15 Yes. A. this trade confirmation tells us about your 16 16 Q. That reflects a purchase on August 4th, purchasing in Wave? 17 17 correct? A. Well, it looks -- is that 550 shares? 18 18 A. Yes. 19 It's hard to read. 19 Q. It appears to say 200 shares; is that 20 Q. Uh-huh. Purchased on what date? 20 correct? 21 A. On the 4th, August 4th of '03. 21 A. It looks like that, uh-huh. 22 Q. Uh-huh. 22 Q. At \$4.62 per share, correct? 23 A. And it looks like at a price of \$4.57. 23 Uh-huh. Α. 24 Uh-huh. Okay. If we look at -- and 24 I don't see that reflected on the 25 that's reflected on Exhibit 7, the certification you 25 certification, do you?

	Registered Profe		•
	Page 54		Page 56
1	A. No.	1	entry on the second page, correct?
2	Q. Any idea why that may be?	2	A. Yes.
3	A. Well, the only thing I can think is that	3	Q. Turning to 0015, that page indicates a
4	maybe that since Jim's Social Security number is the	4	purchase on August 5th, correct
5	main number for the account, that this is listed on	5	A. Correct.
6	his, that maybe he has filled out his own form about	6	Q of 3,000 shares, correct
7	this. I mean we can't both claim it.	7	A. Correct.
8	I guess it's part of the class action,	8	Q at \$4.65 per share?
9	perhaps. I don't know. I'm guessing.	9	A. Yes.
10	Q. Jim is not a named plaintiff in this	10	Q. And that is reflected on the first page of
11	action, is he, Jim Williams? Is he a plaintiff?	11	Exhibit 7, correct?
12	A. Like a lead plaintiff?	12	A. Yes.
13	Q. Yes, like a lead plaintiff?	13	Q. Turning to 0016, do you have 0016 before
14	A. No.	14	you?
15	Q. No. Could it just be an omission on your	15	A. Yes.
16	part, an oversight?	16	Q. That indicates a trade on August 4th,
17	MS. REILLY: Objection. Objection to	17	correct?
18	form.	18	A. Yes.
19	Q. (BY MR. BLANCHARD) You can answer the	19	Q. And that's a purchase of 3,300 shares,
20	question.	20	correct
21	A. Well, I'm not sure what you're asking.	21	A. Yes.
22	Q. I'm asking whether or not there could have	22	Q at \$4.73 per share?
23	been a mistake.	23	A. Yes.
24	A. I suppose so. I mean I don't know.	24	Q. And that's indicated on the second entry
25	Q. Exhibit 4 is a document that you produced	25	on the first page of Exhibit 7, correct?
	Page 55		Page 57
1	in this litigation, correct?	1	A. Yes.
2	in this litigation, correct? A. I don't know if I produced it or you got	2	A. Yes.Q. And then turning to 0017, do you have that
2 3	in this litigation, correct? A. I don't know if I produced it or you got it, because you subpoenaed it looks like you got	2 3	A. Yes.Q. And then turning to 0017, do you have that before you?
2 3 4	in this litigation, correct? A. I don't know if I produced it or you got it, because you subpoenaed it looks like you got this.	2 3 4	A. Yes.Q. And then turning to 0017, do you have that before you?A. Yes.
2 3 4 5	in this litigation, correct? A. I don't know if I produced it or you got it, because you subpoenaed it looks like you got this. Q. I can tell you that I did, but I didn't	2 3 4 5	 A. Yes. Q. And then turning to 0017, do you have that before you? A. Yes. Q. That trade confirmation indicates a
2 3 4 5 6	in this litigation, correct? A. I don't know if I produced it or you got it, because you subpoenaed it looks like you got this. Q. I can tell you that I did, but I didn't redact anything, and the Bates Number is a number	2 3 4 5 6	 A. Yes. Q. And then turning to 0017, do you have that before you? A. Yes. Q. That trade confirmation indicates a purchase on August 4th, correct?
2 3 4 5 6 7	in this litigation, correct? A. I don't know if I produced it or you got it, because you subpoenaed it looks like you got this. Q. I can tell you that I did, but I didn't redact anything, and the Bates Number is a number indicating that it was produced in the litigation.	2 3 4 5 6 7	 A. Yes. Q. And then turning to 0017, do you have that before you? A. Yes. Q. That trade confirmation indicates a purchase on August 4th, correct? A. Correct.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	in this litigation, correct? A. I don't know if I produced it or you got it, because you subpoenaed it looks like you got this. Q. I can tell you that I did, but I didn't redact anything, and the Bates Number is a number indicating that it was produced in the litigation. A. Uh-huh.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 A. Yes. Q. And then turning to 0017, do you have that before you? A. Yes. Q. That trade confirmation indicates a purchase on August 4th, correct? A. Correct. Q. And the purchase was for \$1,700 shares of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	in this litigation, correct? A. I don't know if I produced it or you got it, because you subpoenaed it looks like you got this. Q. I can tell you that I did, but I didn't redact anything, and the Bates Number is a number indicating that it was produced in the litigation. A. Uh-huh. Q. So my understanding would be that this was	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 A. Yes. Q. And then turning to 0017, do you have that before you? A. Yes. Q. That trade confirmation indicates a purchase on August 4th, correct? A. Correct. Q. And the purchase was for \$1,700 shares of Wave, correct?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	in this litigation, correct? A. I don't know if I produced it or you got it, because you subpoenaed it looks like you got this. Q. I can tell you that I did, but I didn't redact anything, and the Bates Number is a number indicating that it was produced in the litigation. A. Uh-huh. Q. So my understanding would be that this was produced from your records.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	A. Yes. Q. And then turning to 0017, do you have that before you? A. Yes. Q. That trade confirmation indicates a purchase on August 4th, correct? A. Correct. Q. And the purchase was for \$1,700 shares of Wave, correct? A. Correct.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	in this litigation, correct? A. I don't know if I produced it or you got it, because you subpoenaed it looks like you got this. Q. I can tell you that I did, but I didn't redact anything, and the Bates Number is a number indicating that it was produced in the litigation. A. Uh-huh. Q. So my understanding would be that this was produced from your records. A. Well, I mean I guess I'd have to rely on	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	A. Yes. Q. And then turning to 0017, do you have that before you? A. Yes. Q. That trade confirmation indicates a purchase on August 4th, correct? A. Correct. Q. And the purchase was for \$1,700 shares of Wave, correct? A. Correct. Q. At \$4.76 per share, correct?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	in this litigation, correct? A. I don't know if I produced it or you got it, because you subpoenaed it looks like you got this. Q. I can tell you that I did, but I didn't redact anything, and the Bates Number is a number indicating that it was produced in the litigation. A. Uh-huh. Q. So my understanding would be that this was produced from your records. A. Well, I mean I guess I'd have to rely on counsel, if that was an error.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	A. Yes. Q. And then turning to 0017, do you have that before you? A. Yes. Q. That trade confirmation indicates a purchase on August 4th, correct? A. Correct. Q. And the purchase was for \$1,700 shares of Wave, correct? A. Correct. Q. At \$4.76 per share, correct? A. Correct. A. Correct.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	in this litigation, correct? A. I don't know if I produced it or you got it, because you subpoenaed it looks like you got this. Q. I can tell you that I did, but I didn't redact anything, and the Bates Number is a number indicating that it was produced in the litigation. A. Uh-huh. Q. So my understanding would be that this was produced from your records. A. Well, I mean I guess I'd have to rely on counsel, if that was an error. Q. Exhibit 5.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 A. Yes. Q. And then turning to 0017, do you have that before you? A. Yes. Q. That trade confirmation indicates a purchase on August 4th, correct? A. Correct. Q. And the purchase was for \$1,700 shares of Wave, correct? A. Correct. Q. At \$4.76 per share, correct? A. Correct. Q. That trade is reflected as the first entry
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	in this litigation, correct? A. I don't know if I produced it or you got it, because you subpoenaed it looks like you got this. Q. I can tell you that I did, but I didn't redact anything, and the Bates Number is a number indicating that it was produced in the litigation. A. Uh-huh. Q. So my understanding would be that this was produced from your records. A. Well, I mean I guess I'd have to rely on counsel, if that was an error. Q. Exhibit 5. A. Okay.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	A. Yes. Q. And then turning to 0017, do you have that before you? A. Yes. Q. That trade confirmation indicates a purchase on August 4th, correct? A. Correct. Q. And the purchase was for \$1,700 shares of Wave, correct? A. Correct. Q. At \$4.76 per share, correct? A. Correct. Q. That trade is reflected as the first entry on the first page of Exhibit 7, correct?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	in this litigation, correct? A. I don't know if I produced it or you got it, because you subpoenaed it looks like you got this. Q. I can tell you that I did, but I didn't redact anything, and the Bates Number is a number indicating that it was produced in the litigation. A. Uh-huh. Q. So my understanding would be that this was produced from your records. A. Well, I mean I guess I'd have to rely on counsel, if that was an error. Q. Exhibit 5. A. Okay. Q. Exhibit 5 has a series of trade	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	A. Yes. Q. And then turning to 0017, do you have that before you? A. Yes. Q. That trade confirmation indicates a purchase on August 4th, correct? A. Correct. Q. And the purchase was for \$1,700 shares of Wave, correct? A. Correct. Q. At \$4.76 per share, correct? A. Correct. Q. That trade is reflected as the first entry on the first page of Exhibit 7, correct? A. Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	in this litigation, correct? A. I don't know if I produced it or you got it, because you subpoenaed it looks like you got this. Q. I can tell you that I did, but I didn't redact anything, and the Bates Number is a number indicating that it was produced in the litigation. A. Uh-huh. Q. So my understanding would be that this was produced from your records. A. Well, I mean I guess I'd have to rely on counsel, if that was an error. Q. Exhibit 5. A. Okay. Q. Exhibit 5 has a series of trade confirmations, the last three pages of four pages	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	A. Yes. Q. And then turning to 0017, do you have that before you? A. Yes. Q. That trade confirmation indicates a purchase on August 4th, correct? A. Correct. Q. And the purchase was for \$1,700 shares of Wave, correct? A. Correct. Q. At \$4.76 per share, correct? A. Correct. Q. That trade is reflected as the first entry on the first page of Exhibit 7, correct? A. Yes. Q. Turning to Exhibit 6, the second page of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	in this litigation, correct? A. I don't know if I produced it or you got it, because you subpoenaed it looks like you got this. Q. I can tell you that I did, but I didn't redact anything, and the Bates Number is a number indicating that it was produced in the litigation. A. Uh-huh. Q. So my understanding would be that this was produced from your records. A. Well, I mean I guess I'd have to rely on counsel, if that was an error. Q. Exhibit 5. A. Okay. Q. Exhibit 5 has a series of trade confirmations, the last three pages of four pages of the document. If you could turn to Bates Number	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	A. Yes. Q. And then turning to 0017, do you have that before you? A. Yes. Q. That trade confirmation indicates a purchase on August 4th, correct? A. Correct. Q. And the purchase was for \$1,700 shares of Wave, correct? A. Correct. Q. At \$4.76 per share, correct? A. Correct. Q. That trade is reflected as the first entry on the first page of Exhibit 7, correct? A. Yes. Q. Turning to Exhibit 6, the second page of the exhibit is marked 0019, correct?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	in this litigation, correct? A. I don't know if I produced it or you got it, because you subpoenaed it looks like you got this. Q. I can tell you that I did, but I didn't redact anything, and the Bates Number is a number indicating that it was produced in the litigation. A. Uh-huh. Q. So my understanding would be that this was produced from your records. A. Well, I mean I guess I'd have to rely on counsel, if that was an error. Q. Exhibit 5. A. Okay. Q. Exhibit 5 has a series of trade confirmations, the last three pages of four pages of the document. If you could turn to Bates Number 0014, do you see that page?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A. Yes. Q. And then turning to 0017, do you have that before you? A. Yes. Q. That trade confirmation indicates a purchase on August 4th, correct? A. Correct. Q. And the purchase was for \$1,700 shares of Wave, correct? A. Correct. Q. At \$4.76 per share, correct? A. Correct. Q. That trade is reflected as the first entry on the first page of Exhibit 7, correct? A. Yes. Q. Turning to Exhibit 6, the second page of the exhibit is marked 0019, correct? A. Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	in this litigation, correct? A. I don't know if I produced it or you got it, because you subpoenaed it looks like you got this. Q. I can tell you that I did, but I didn't redact anything, and the Bates Number is a number indicating that it was produced in the litigation. A. Uh-huh. Q. So my understanding would be that this was produced from your records. A. Well, I mean I guess I'd have to rely on counsel, if that was an error. Q. Exhibit 5. A. Okay. Q. Exhibit 5 has a series of trade confirmations, the last three pages of four pages of the document. If you could turn to Bates Number 0014, do you see that page? A. Yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	A. Yes. Q. And then turning to 0017, do you have that before you? A. Yes. Q. That trade confirmation indicates a purchase on August 4th, correct? A. Correct. Q. And the purchase was for \$1,700 shares of Wave, correct? A. Correct. Q. At \$4.76 per share, correct? A. Correct. Q. That trade is reflected as the first entry on the first page of Exhibit 7, correct? A. Yes. Q. Turning to Exhibit 6, the second page of the exhibit is marked 0019, correct? A. Yes. Q. And that is a trade confirmation from
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	in this litigation, correct? A. I don't know if I produced it or you got it, because you subpoenaed it looks like you got this. Q. I can tell you that I did, but I didn't redact anything, and the Bates Number is a number indicating that it was produced in the litigation. A. Uh-huh. Q. So my understanding would be that this was produced from your records. A. Well, I mean I guess I'd have to rely on counsel, if that was an error. Q. Exhibit 5. A. Okay. Q. Exhibit 5 has a series of trade confirmations, the last three pages of four pages of the document. If you could turn to Bates Number 0014, do you see that page? A. Yes. Q. And that indicates a purchase on	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	A. Yes. Q. And then turning to 0017, do you have that before you? A. Yes. Q. That trade confirmation indicates a purchase on August 4th, correct? A. Correct. Q. And the purchase was for \$1,700 shares of Wave, correct? A. Correct. Q. At \$4.76 per share, correct? A. Correct. Q. That trade is reflected as the first entry on the first page of Exhibit 7, correct? A. Yes. Q. Turning to Exhibit 6, the second page of the exhibit is marked 0019, correct? A. Yes. Q. And that is a trade confirmation from Scottrade, correct?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	in this litigation, correct? A. I don't know if I produced it or you got it, because you subpoenaed it looks like you got this. Q. I can tell you that I did, but I didn't redact anything, and the Bates Number is a number indicating that it was produced in the litigation. A. Uh-huh. Q. So my understanding would be that this was produced from your records. A. Well, I mean I guess I'd have to rely on counsel, if that was an error. Q. Exhibit 5. A. Okay. Q. Exhibit 5 has a series of trade confirmations, the last three pages of four pages of the document. If you could turn to Bates Number 0014, do you see that page? A. Yes. Q. And that indicates a purchase on August 6th, correct	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A. Yes. Q. And then turning to 0017, do you have that before you? A. Yes. Q. That trade confirmation indicates a purchase on August 4th, correct? A. Correct. Q. And the purchase was for \$1,700 shares of Wave, correct? A. Correct. Q. At \$4.76 per share, correct? A. Correct. Q. That trade is reflected as the first entry on the first page of Exhibit 7, correct? A. Yes. Q. Turning to Exhibit 6, the second page of the exhibit is marked 0019, correct? A. Yes. Q. And that is a trade confirmation from Scottrade, correct? A. Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	in this litigation, correct? A. I don't know if I produced it or you got it, because you subpoenaed it looks like you got this. Q. I can tell you that I did, but I didn't redact anything, and the Bates Number is a number indicating that it was produced in the litigation. A. Uh-huh. Q. So my understanding would be that this was produced from your records. A. Well, I mean I guess I'd have to rely on counsel, if that was an error. Q. Exhibit 5. A. Okay. Q. Exhibit 5 has a series of trade confirmations, the last three pages of four pages of the document. If you could turn to Bates Number 0014, do you see that page? A. Yes. Q. And that indicates a purchase on August 6th, correct A. Yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A. Yes. Q. And then turning to 0017, do you have that before you? A. Yes. Q. That trade confirmation indicates a purchase on August 4th, correct? A. Correct. Q. And the purchase was for \$1,700 shares of Wave, correct? A. Correct. Q. At \$4.76 per share, correct? A. Correct. Q. That trade is reflected as the first entry on the first page of Exhibit 7, correct? A. Yes. Q. Turning to Exhibit 6, the second page of the exhibit is marked 0019, correct? A. Yes. Q. And that is a trade confirmation from Scottrade, correct? A. Yes. Q. And that indicates a purchase of 750
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	in this litigation, correct? A. I don't know if I produced it or you got it, because you subpoenaed it looks like you got this. Q. I can tell you that I did, but I didn't redact anything, and the Bates Number is a number indicating that it was produced in the litigation. A. Uh-huh. Q. So my understanding would be that this was produced from your records. A. Well, I mean I guess I'd have to rely on counsel, if that was an error. Q. Exhibit 5. A. Okay. Q. Exhibit 5 has a series of trade confirmations, the last three pages of four pages of the document. If you could turn to Bates Number 0014, do you see that page? A. Yes. Q. And that indicates a purchase on August 6th, correct	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	A. Yes. Q. And then turning to 0017, do you have that before you? A. Yes. Q. That trade confirmation indicates a purchase on August 4th, correct? A. Correct. Q. And the purchase was for \$1,700 shares of Wave, correct? A. Correct. Q. At \$4.76 per share, correct? A. Correct. Q. That trade is reflected as the first entry on the first page of Exhibit 7, correct? A. Yes. Q. Turning to Exhibit 6, the second page of the exhibit is marked 0019, correct? A. Yes. Q. And that is a trade confirmation from Scottrade, correct? A. Yes. Q. And that indicates a purchase of 750 shares of Wave, correct
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	in this litigation, correct? A. I don't know if I produced it or you got it, because you subpoenaed it looks like you got this. Q. I can tell you that I did, but I didn't redact anything, and the Bates Number is a number indicating that it was produced in the litigation. A. Uh-huh. Q. So my understanding would be that this was produced from your records. A. Well, I mean I guess I'd have to rely on counsel, if that was an error. Q. Exhibit 5. A. Okay. Q. Exhibit 5 has a series of trade confirmations, the last three pages of four pages of the document. If you could turn to Bates Number 0014, do you see that page? A. Yes. Q. And that indicates a purchase on August 6th, correct A. Yes. Q of 2,000 shares?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A. Yes. Q. And then turning to 0017, do you have that before you? A. Yes. Q. That trade confirmation indicates a purchase on August 4th, correct? A. Correct. Q. And the purchase was for \$1,700 shares of Wave, correct? A. Correct. Q. At \$4.76 per share, correct? A. Correct. Q. That trade is reflected as the first entry on the first page of Exhibit 7, correct? A. Yes. Q. Turning to Exhibit 6, the second page of the exhibit is marked 0019, correct? A. Yes. Q. And that is a trade confirmation from Scottrade, correct? A. Yes. Q. And that indicates a purchase of 750 shares of Wave, correct

Page 60 Page 58 you give any thought that you might be a plaintiff Α. Correct. 1 2 2 in a lawsuit against Wave? -- on August 6th? Q. 3 3 Α. No. Α. Correct. 4 4 And that is indicated as the last entry on Q. Have you ever kept a file on Wave? the second page of Exhibit 7, correct? 5 5 Α. 6 A. Correct. 6 Do you currently keep a file on Wave? Q. 7 7 0. From these trading records, these trade Α. 8 confirmations, it appears that you purchased Wave 8 Q. When did you first become aware that Wave securities in increments on August 4th. Would that 9 9 existed? 10 be a fair characterization? 10 Probably the month prior to when I made A. A. I don't think so. I don't think I did. the stock purchase, sometime in July. 11 11 Could I look at my statements that you have? Q. How did you become aware of Wave? 12 12 Which statements? A. I think news releases. I saw some news 13 13 14 I'm wondering if -- there's two things 14 releases on it. 15 that could have happened, because I don't know why I 15 Do you recall what you read in those news Q. would have bought them in increments and paid 16 releases? 17 charges for that, and I'm thinking either it's 17 A. Uh-huh. What I remember reading was that 18 possible if I saw that they charged me for each one they had partnered with several different big name 18 19 of those lots that they put through, that I would 19 companies like Intel and National Semiconductor and also that they were -- their encrypted system was 20 have contacted them and had them refund those 20 charges, which may reflect on my statement, or I 21 21 coming out on the Intel motherboards, and also that 22 didn't catch it, or I didn't bother with it, but it 22 they had a thing with IBM that they were going to --23 wouldn't have been my nature to buy those in 23 that their software was going to be bundled with the 24 separate lots. packages on their computer. 24 25 25 Q. Well, here's another theory. If you look Q. Do you recall how long it took you to

Page 59 at Exhibits 1 through 6 they are for, I believe,

different accounts, correct?

A. Some of them.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

18

19

20

21

25

Q. Right, some of them. Is it possible that the purchases are reflected as different purchases because it's different accounts?

A. Most of them, I think. Was there one that was two within the same account?

Q. I think there were, yes.

And I'm not -- I don't think those were separate. I think they just went through as separate lots. I don't think I initiated it that way.

So your recollection is you purchased one -- you put in an order for one lump-sum of shares?

17 A. Of each account.

> Q. Okav.

To the best of my recollection. Α.

Are you aware of purchasing Wave securities, any other Wave securities other than the

ones reflected in the certification, and that one 22

23 account with Mr. Williams?

24 Α.

> Q. When you purchased your shares of Wave did

acquire all of that information that you just

2 discussed?

3

4

5

6

7

8

12

16

17

25

A. It takes seconds to acquire it.

Q. Did you research them over a matter of weeks or was it in an afternoon? Do you recall?

A. A matter of days.

Q. A matter of days?

Uh-huh. A.

9 Did those news releases or sources that 10 you relied on interest you in investing in Wave?

11 Α.

> Q. Did you review the company's SEC filings?

13 A.

14 Did you contact anyone at the company at 0. 15

the time?

A.

Other than -- well, do you recall which

18 sources you relied upon when you were investigating purchasing Wave?

19 20

No. I can just tell you that the

21 resources I went to were, you know, either Quicken

22 or Morningstar or Smart Money or within the

23 brokerage accounts.

24 Did you rely on any individual? Q.

Α. No. Page 61

Page 64 Page 62 purchasing Wave securities? Q. Did you rely on any information obtained 1 MS. REILLY: Objection to form. 2 from any source other than Quicken or Smart Money or 2 Q. (BY MR. BLANCHARD) You can answer the 3 3 those other sources? 4 4 question. Α. A. Well, I'm not sure that -- do I need to 5 5 And why did you purchase Wave stock? Q. answer the question? A. I just felt like all their research and 6 6 Q. Yes, unless you can't. development was finally going to start paying off in 7 7 the company, because the way I interpreted the 8 Well, what's the question again? Α. 8 The question is -- well, here, let's back newsletters were that they were partnering with 9 9 up. What information in this release do you recall these other companies, and we were going to start 10 10 hearing about at the time you were looking at seeing their software come out on computers. 11 11 (Whereupon, Deposition Exhibit Number 8 was marked 12 purchasing Wave securities? 12 THE WITNESS: Now when you say 13 by the reporter for identification.) 13 14 MS. REILLY: You don't have copies for 14 "objection to form," does that have to do with the counsel of the exhibits, do you? way he asked the question? 15 15 MR. BLANCHARD: I don't. I'm sorry. MS. REILLY: Yes, but you can still 16 16 MR. MOSSER: Is that something we 17 17 answer the question. A. Okay. Well, the part about the agreement 18 produced? Does it have a Bates Number? 18 MR. BLANCHARD: No, this is a 19 with Intel, and the company's accelerating the 19 development and deployment of trusted applications 20 printout. 20 on their computer platforms, the agreement enabling 21 MR. MOSSER: Oh. 21 Intel to bundle Wave software and services on the 22 Q. (BY MR. BLANCHARD) Ms. Brumbaugh, do you 22 23 have Exhibit Number 8 before you? 23 future motherboards. Those are the things I 24 A. Yes. 24 remember. 25 Could you take a moment to review it? 25 Q. In this press release you didn't see any 0. Page 65 Page 63 1 MR. BLANCHARD: Off the record. information regarding the details of the agreement 1 2 2 with Intel, did you? (Off the record discussion.) 3 (BY MR. BLANCHARD) Have you had an 3 A. No. 4 opportunity to review it? 4 Q. Do you recall seeing any information at 5 A. Yes. the time that you invested in Wave about the details 6 The document is titled, "Wave Systems 6 of the deal with Intel? 7 Announcement," correct? 7 A. No. 8 8 Q. How important was the deal with Intel to A. Yes. 9 July 31, 2003, correct? 9 your decision to invest in Wave stock? Q. 10 10 Significant. A. 11 Do you recall ever seeing this document 11 Q. Is it fair to say, though, that you knew before, not in this exact form, but the substance of nothing about the details of the deal with Intel at 12 12 13 this document? 13 the time? 14 A. Well, I mean some of the information on 14 A. Other than what my understanding was of here seems similar to some of the news releases that 15 15 this press release. Q. What was your understanding of the deal 16 16 17 Now I'll make a representation that this with Intel at the time? 17 18 is Wave's press release on July 31, 2003 regarding 18 A. Well, I interpreted it that their 19 Intel. 19 software was going to be included with Intel's 20 20 A. motherboards. Do you recall whether you read Wave's 21 Q. 21 Q. Do you have experience in the software 22 actual press release regarding Intel? 22 industry? 23 A. No, I don't recall. A. No. 23 24 Did any of the information in this press 24 Do you know much about the software Ο. 25 release cause you to think either way about 25 industry?

	Registered Profe	ssior	nal Reporters
	Page 66		Page 68
1	A. No.	1	Q. What was that?
2	Q. Do you know what the phrase "bundling"	2	A. I think that's part of the problem.
3	means?	3	Q. That press release is dated July 31, 2003,
4	A. Well, I would interpret it to mean like	4	correct?
		5	A. Correct.
5	when I buy a computer from Dell, it comes with certain software on it.		
6		6	Q. Do you recall reading about Wave prior to
7	Either it's there for the long haul, or	7	July 31, 2003?
8	it's there for a short period of time, and then you	8	A. I couldn't specifically say that I do.
9	can make the decision whether you want to purchase	9	Q. You're telling me you can't recall?
10	it yourself.	10	A. Right.
11	Q. Uh-huh.	11	Q. You can't recall?
12	A. And that's what I interpret it to mean.	12	A. Yeah. To my knowledge, I don't remember
13	Q. Are you familiar with well, back up.	13	anything specific that I can tell you that I do.
14	Did you consult any sources of information	14	(Whereupon, Deposition Exhibit Number 9 was marked
15	regarding the software industry at the time before	15	by the reporter for identification.)
16	making a decision to purchase Wave stock?	16	Q. (BY MR. BLANCHARD) Do you have Exhibit 9
17	A. Did I consult who?	17	before you?
18	 Q. Any information concerning how the 	18	A. Yes.
19	software industry works. Did you read up on it?	19	 Q. Could you take a moment and review Exhibit
20	A. No.	20	9?
21	Q. Did you talk to any people about it?	21	A. Okay.
22	A. No.	22	Q. Have you reviewed Exhibit 9?
23	Q. So your interpretation of this the	23	A. Yes.
24	information provided at the time was just based on	24	Q. Exhibit 9, I'll represent, is a printout
25	your own understanding?	25	of Wave System's press release regarding the IBM
			D 60
	Page 67	۱.	Page 69
1	A. Yes.	1 2	deal. Exhibit 9 is dated August 4th, 2003, correct?
2	Q. Do you have experience in contract terms	2	A. Yes.
3	in the software industry?	3	Q. And it says, "Wave Systems makes
4	A. No.	4	enterprise applications more secure than ever," at
5	Q. Do you have any have you pursued any	5	the top, right?
6	independent understanding of contract terms in the	6	A. Yes.
7	software industry?	7	Q. "And IBM's independent software vendor
8	A. No.	8	program helps Wave Systems create more secure
9	Q. Have you read up on it at all?	9	applications for the enterprise user."
10	A. No.	10	A. Yes.
11	Q. Have you spoken with individuals about it	11	Q. Did you review Wave's press release about
12	at all?	12	IBM at the time?
13	A. About contract terms? No.	13	A. I don't know the source of the press
14	Q. And in particular, contract terms in the	14	release that I reviewed on-line.
15	software industry?	15	Q. Uh-huh.
16	A. No; probably most people haven't.	16	A. I mean I know I got it through my
17	Q. You don't see in this press release any	17	brokerage account or through Morningstar or Smart
18	information about the number of Intel computers that	18	Money, but I'm not sure, you know, whether the

source came from Wave or from, you know, one of the

Q. So are you telling me you can't remember

whether you read Wave's press release, even if it's

just repeated somewhere, versus someone else's

write-up about Wave's press release; is that

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

news agencies.

correct?

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

A.

you?

A.

would have Wave software on it, do you?

You don't see anything in this press

might earn based on its relationship with Intel, do

release concerning the amount of revenue that Wave

No. I think that's part of the problem.

16

17

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

Page 70

- Correct. I'm not sure which I would have Α. read.
- Do you recall learning any of the Q. information that's in this Exhibit 9 at the time?
 - Α. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

14

15

16

17

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

- Q. What do you recall?
- Well, I remember they were talking about Α. the participation with IBM and their partnership. I remember something about enabling IBM computers to have this in their bundle, or whatever. I mean that's the gist of what I remember.
- Do you recall learning anything else about 12 13 the IBM deal at the time?
 - A. No.
 - This press release doesn't include any information concerning financial terms of the deal, does it?
- 18 A. No.
- Q. And it does not include any information 19 20 concerning a commitment by IBM to use Wave software, does it? 21
- 22 A. No, I guess not, although -- yeah. It 23 says, "This partnership is another example of IBM's 24 commitment to help independent software sales," so I 25 do take this as equipment by IBM.

Page 71

- Q. You're reading the sentence that says: "This partnership is another example of IBM's commitment to help independent software vendors use IBM's hardware and software-based security system to make computing as secure as possible for the end-user." Is that the sentence you were reading from?
- Α.
- And what form of commitment do you interpret -- I'll try to re-ask that.

What is it that IBM committed to in your view based on that sentence?

- A. Well, the subject of the sentence is, "This partnership," and so that's what I thought they were referring to.
 - Q. A commitment to a partnership?
- They're talking about, "This partnership is the compatibility of Wave's security software applications." That was the subject of the sentence before.
 - Q. Uh-huh.
- 21 22 So then when they say, "This partnership," 23 then I interpret that to mean the subject from the 24 sentence before, which was Wave's security software, 25 application software.

- Now this is dated August 4th, 2003. Your 1 2 first purchase of Wave securities was on August 4th, 3 2003, correct?
 - A. Correct.
- 5 At the time that you made those first 6 purchases did you have any information about Wave 7 other than the information regarding the IBM deal that we've discussed and the information regarding 8 9 the Intel deal that we've discussed?
- 10 No. I think it was this, coupled with the information I had gotten a few days prior that 11 12 prompted me to go back and review the stock.
- 13 Q. Did you review any other press releases about Wave prior to July 31, 2003? 14
- A. Not that I remember. I don't think so. 15
 - What about these two deals motivated you to purchase Wave stock?
- A. Well, I interpreted that their linking up 18 19 or partnering with these two -- three big-named companies, that all the research and development 20 that they had been doing on this Trust Suite was 21 22 finally being put into motion and utilized, and so 23 it was kind of a turning point for them.
 - Q. Did you view there to be any risks in investing, based on this information?

Page 73

Page 72

- A. I feel like there's risk with every stock. I didn't think there were any -- no, I didn't think there was any higher degree of risk than with any other stocks that I've purchased.
- Q. What risks did you view to be existent concerning Wave at the time?

MS. REILLY: Objection to form. I'm sorry.

- No more than any other stock. MS. REILLY: You can answer.
- 11 Well, no more than any other stock. It's 12 just like your risk going into surgery. There's a certain degree of risk when you have anesthesia, and 13 that's the same thing with this stock. I saw it, as 14 15 with any stock, there's risk.
- 16 Q. (BY MR. BLANCHARD) Were you concerned 17 that there were no details of these deals 18 disclosed?
- 19 No, because what I read to me seemed 20 pretty significant, and I interpreted it apparently 21 to be more than it actually was. I don't usually 22 buy stocks with risks. If there's higher risk, I
- 23 usually shy away from it, and I just didn't see that 24 here.
- 25 Q. Did you have any anticipations of future

4

9

13

17

18

19

1

5

6

7

8

9

14

15

Page 74

revenues -- well, did you -- at the time you purchased Wave stock, is it correct that you believed the stock would appreciate in value?

A. Yes.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

11

12

16

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

18

19

20

- Q. And did you believe that the stock would appreciate in value because the company would begin to increase its revenues?
 - A. Yes.
- 9 Q. And what caused you to believe that the 10 company would begin to increase its revenues?
 - A. Because I thought their product was coming out on Intel motherboards and IBM computers.
- Q. Was there any other information that led you to believe that the company would be increasing its revenues?
 - A. No.
- 17 Q. Had you ever read any -- strike that.
- Prior to purchasing your stock in Wave, had you ever read the company's forecasts for revenues?
- 20 A. No
- 21 Q. Had you ever heard of the company's
- 22 forecasts for revenues prior to your purchase of
- 23 stock in Wave?
- 24 A. No.
- 25 Q. All right. Exhibit 8, turning your

and I object to your paraphrasing her responses,
 giving it back to her.

- Q. (BY MR. BLANCHARD) You can answer.
 - A. No. I've already answered it.
- Q. Okay. Your answer was, "The same things
 that you asked me about prior, what type of revenues
 they could expect, and just what the agreement was
 with Intel and IBM."

Page 76

- A. Correct.
- 10 Q. Broken down, the first one is "what type 11 of revenues they could expect." What do you mean by 12 "what type of revenues they could expect"?
 - A. What the agreement was with Intel or IBM.
- Q. Are revenues the same thing as agreements?
 MS. REILLY: Objection to the form of
 the question.
 - Q. (BY MR. BLANCHARD) I'm not being funny. I asked you what you mean by --
 - A. Yeah, revenues are not the same.
- Q. All right. So when you say what type of revenues they expect, what does that mean?
- A. How substantial their agreement was
 with -- what were the, I guess, more the financial
 particulars, or the extent that their services were
- 25 going to be used.

Page 75

attention back to Exhibit 8, do you view anything in Exhibit 8 to be misleading?

MS. REILLY: Objection to form.

- A. At the time that I read this, before I purchased the stock? No.
- Q. (BY MR. BLANCHARD) With the benefit of hindsight, do you believe that the information disclosed in Exhibit 8 is misleading?
- A. I think it has omissions, and yes, it is misleading for that reason.
- Q. I think I heard you say that you believe it has omissions, correct?
- 13 A. Yes.
- 14 Q. Okay. What omissions?
- A. Well, the same things that you asked me
 about prior, what type of revenues they could expect
 and just what the agreement was with Intel and IBM.
 - Q. Were there any other omissions?
 - A. I would have to rely on counsel and their investigations to determine that.
- Q. So to be clear, you viewed the omissions from Exhibit 8 to involve information concerning projected revenues?
- MS. REILLY: Objection to the form of the question. The witness has provided her answer,

Page 77
O. What would the extent of services involve?

- Q. What would the extent of services involve?A. Well, just what their arrangement was,
- A. Well, just what their arrangement was, what the terms were for what their partnership was, what it was going to consist of.
 - Q. That seems to me, and you tell me if I'm wrong, that seems to me to be more about what the nature of the agreement was, correct?

MS. REILLY: Objection to the form of the question.

10 Q. (BY MR. BLANCHARD) I'll back up. I'm 11 just trying -- you seem to give a two-part answer, 12 and I'm just trying to understand what your view is 13 of each of those parts.

One of them was expected revenues, and the other was the terms of the agreement.

- 16 A. Okay, the terms of the agreement would be 17 what I meant.
- Q. Okay. So primarily, that the terms of the agreement is what was omitted from the press release?
- 21 A. I think that could be one of the things, 22 yeah.
- Q. And the other thing could be what?A. You know, that's another thing I thi
 - A. You know, that's another thing I think I would rely on counsel to do an investigation and